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Role & Function of RHOC
- Today’s Topics

- Origin of Committee
- General Power & Duties/Mission Statement
  - Boards & Commissions Description
- RHOC Self-assessment
- Formal Action by Committee
Role & Function of RHOC

- Origin

1. Mayor’s Statement of Principles: Pre Charter Amendment
   ✓ Most principles accomplished – 10/18/12 TTI meeting

2. Charter Amendment: Funding Mechanism only

3. City Ordinance created OC
   ✓ Recommendation of 10-year plan by 1st half of CY 2012

4. General Power & Duties/Mission Statement
   ✓ Most initial duties have been completed
General Power & Duties/Mission Statement
- Boards & Commissions Description for RHOC

- Review criteria established by PWE to prioritize projects & recommend changes
  - Formal action & recommendations made by Committee
- Create a forum that ensures citizens are informed of project priorities & have opportunity for input
  - Meetings posted & open to the public
  - CIP, Super Neighborhood, Civic & Professional meetings
  - RH website
    - Methodology, “5+5 Plan” docs, Interactive Map
    - Financial Reports, Agendas, Minutes, Project listing, etc.
General Power & Duties/Mission Statement
- Boards & Commissions Description for RHOC

✓ Monitor the appeals process ...
  • Updates provided to the OC
✓ Review community communications of projects & recommend improvements to PWE
  • Communication Concept Plan reviewed by Communications Working Group
  • Formal acceptance of RH logo
✓ Evaluate execution of projects & recommend changes to the process & priorities
  • Formal action & recommendations by the Committee
Review initial 10 year RH plan in 1st half of CY 2012 & recommend any changes.

- Accepted FY 13-17 CIP
- Voted to move forward w/ “+5 Year Plan” (6/26/12)

- Participate in creation of mentoring program
  - Progress being made in collaboration w/ OBO & others

- Participate in creation of job training/apprenticeship/internship program in partnership w/ education/bus./non-profit & labor organizations
  - Progress being made in collaboration w/ OBO & others
Review & recommend changes to the W.A.T.E.R. fund usage criteria

- Parameters, as set by ordinance, did not meet the minimum amount to fund; however,
- Fund usage for W & WW has helped compensate those needing assistance

Committee will provide quarterly reports to the appropriate Council Committee

- Regular updates made to TTI
Role & Function of RHOC
- Self-assessment Discussion

- Inaugural Period: 1st full cycle of Methodology achieved
  1. Need Area Identification based on city-wide data
  2. CIP recommendations based on B/C (pre-engineering)

- Advisory role of Committee

- Value in the Committee - serves a vital purpose

- Recognition that several initial duties are completed & refinement of Oversight Committee role is needed
To be an independent advisory committee whose role is to advise the City on the project prioritization process. The Committee will report directly to the Mayor. In performing this advisory role, the Committee will:
Updated Mission Statement

• Review refinements to the CIP process methodology made by PWE & recommend any changes.

• Continue to be a forum that ensures citizens are informed of project priorities & have an opportunity to input their views.

• Review RH community relations & recommend improvements to PWE.

• Advise City departments on opportunities for jobs programs, such as internships, training & professional development, to better support RH implementation.

• Provide regular reports to the TTI Committee.
Questions?
ReBuild Houston
Oversight Committee

ReBuild Houston Update
TTI Committee Meeting
May 14, 2013
Topics

- Methodology & where we are today
- District CM Need Area Identification
- Transparency & Public Input
  - Draft 10-year Plan
  - Resulting changes to draft Plan
- Final recommendation
Methodology

- **Need Area** – Worst First
  - Based on a defined Level of Service using objective data

- **Candidate Project**
  - Pre-engineering develops solution, defines scope w/ cost est.
  - Prioritization based on benefit & cost

- **Funded Project**
  - Best projects go into next CIP
Where we are today

- Transition from FY 12-16 Legacy CIP
  - FY 12-16 CIP
    - Committed CIP projects: Base line
  - FY 13-17 CIP
    - No new projects for FY 17
    - “Worst first” Need Areas identified to be pre-engineered
  - FY 14-18 CIP
    - Pre-engineered Candidate Projects compete
    - Best projects for FY 17 & 18 based on benefit/cost
    - Funding level is the constraint
District Council Member

Need Area Identification

- Oct. 18 joint TTI/RHOC meeting
  - Discussion on Methodology: Needs & Candidate Projects
- Meeting w/ individual District council members
  - CM input in identifying a need area
- Memo by Director (April 16, 2013)
  - What is boundary of the need area?
  - Why is it perceived to be a need area?
  - Availability of data not yet captured?
- Information requested by May 16, 2013
  - To consider for FY 14 pre-engineering plan
  - For ranking in time for FY 15-2019 CIP
Unprecedented Availability of Info

- January 23: Release of Draft 10-yr Plan to RHOC
- February 11: Draft Plan placed on website
  - Documents & Viewer
- Feb 11 - Mar 27: District CIP Town Hall meetings
- March 31: End of public input period
- April 16: Release of updated draft Plan to RHOC and placed on website
Public Input

- Data bank continues to grow
- Comments encouraged (questionnaire)
- Over 600 pieces of new input submitted
  - Structural flooding, street flooding, other
- SWEET model rerun with new input
- “+5” Need Areas added
“5+5 Plan” Changes (from January draft)

- CIP Programming
  - Funding
  - Project refinements

- Inventory of Candidate Projects (not funded, not scheduled)
  - Ready to move if funding becomes available

- “+5” Need Areas
  - Resulting from Public Input process
Questions?