



2005 MAJOR THOROUGHFARE AND FREEWAY PLAN



HOUSTON PLANNING COMMISSION
CAROL A. LEWIS, Ph.D., CHAIR
MARLENE L. GAFRICK, SECRETARY
 APPROVED BY HOUSTON PLANNING COMMISSION ON AUGUST 4, 2005
 ADOPTED BY HOUSTON CITY COUNCIL ON OCTOBER 5, 2005
 COUNCIL MOTION 2005-0972

NOTE:
 EFFECTIVE APRIL 17, 1996, THE STREET HIERARCHY CLASSIFICATION SYSTEM SUPPLEMENTED THE MAJOR FREEWAY AND THOROUGHFARE PLAN (STEP) BY DEFINING THE FUNCTION, PROJECTED NUMBER OF LANES, AND MINIMUM FRONT OR SIDE ROW WIDTH REQUIREMENT FOR STREET SEGMENTS. ON APRIL 29, 1996, CITY COUNCIL ADOPTED THE COLLECTOR AS AN ADDITIONAL STREET CATEGORY.
 THE CLASSIFICATION IS AS FOLLOWS:
 1" - "10" - PROJECTED NUMBER OF LANES
 100' - MINIMUM RIGHT-OF-WAY WIDTH
 STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY ROW WIDTH REQUIREMENT SHALL COMPLY WITH THE STREET HIERARCHY CLASSIFICATION. STREETS DESIGNATED ON THE MAJOR THOROUGHFARE AND FREEWAY PLAN THAT DO NOT HAVE STREET HIERARCHY SHALL COMPLY WITH THE MINIMUM ROW WIDTH REQUIREMENT AS OUTLINED IN CHAPTER 42 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES. ADDITIONAL ROW MAY BE REQUIRED AT INTERSECTIONS FOR TURNING LANES AND TRANSITIONS. THESE NEEDS ARE SHOWN BY SHIMDOLS.
 THIS MAP DOES NOT REFLECT SCHEDULED ROAD CONSTRUCTION.
 * THE ALIGNMENT FOR SH 35 AND GRAND PARKWAY AS REPRESENTED BY THE SCREEN DESIGNATION ARE CONCEPTUAL. THE MAP IS A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ROW. IT IS A TOOL FOR GUIDING ROW DEDICATIONS, BUILDING SET BACKS, AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS AS OUTLINED IN CHAPTER 42 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES. ADDITIONAL ROW MAY BE REQUIRED AT INTERSECTIONS FOR TURNING LANES AND TRANSITIONS. THESE NEEDS ARE SHOWN BY SHIMDOLS.
 ** NOT ALL CITY REGULATIONS APPLY IN AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED PURPOSE ANNEXATION.

ABOUT THE MTFP:
 THIS PLAN SHOWS GENERAL LOCATION ONLY WHICH ARE SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION TO FIT LOCAL CONDITIONS.
 THE SYMBOL REPRESENTS A 300 FOOT WIDE CORRIDOR.
 THE MAP IS A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ROW. IT IS A TOOL FOR GUIDING ROW DEDICATIONS, BUILDING SET BACKS, AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS AS OUTLINED IN CHAPTER 42 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES. ADDITIONAL ROW MAY BE REQUIRED AT INTERSECTIONS FOR TURNING LANES AND TRANSITIONS. THESE NEEDS ARE SHOWN BY SHIMDOLS.
 THIS MAP DOES NOT REFLECT SCHEDULED ROAD CONSTRUCTION.
 * THE ALIGNMENT FOR SH 35 AND GRAND PARKWAY AS REPRESENTED BY THE SCREEN DESIGNATION ARE CONCEPTUAL. THE MAP IS A SCHEMATIC REPRESENTATION OF ROW. IT IS A TOOL FOR GUIDING ROW DEDICATIONS, BUILDING SET BACKS, AND OTHER DEVELOPMENT ACTIONS AS OUTLINED IN CHAPTER 42 OF THE CODE OF ORDINANCES. ADDITIONAL ROW MAY BE REQUIRED AT INTERSECTIONS FOR TURNING LANES AND TRANSITIONS. THESE NEEDS ARE SHOWN BY SHIMDOLS.
 ** NOT ALL CITY REGULATIONS APPLY IN AREAS DESIGNATED AS LIMITED PURPOSE ANNEXATION.

MAJOR THOROUGHFARE (R.O.W. ONLY)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — SUFFICIENT WIDTH - - - TO BE WIDENED · · · · · TO BE ACQUIRED 	BOUNDARIES / OTHERS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> CITY LIMITS LIMITED PURPOSES ANNEXATION ** HOUSTON ETJ OTHER CITIES — WATERWAYS - - - COUNTY BOUNDARY - - - RAILROAD
MAJOR COLLECTOR (R.O.W. ONLY)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — SUFFICIENT WIDTH - - - TO BE WIDENED · · · · · TO BE ACQUIRED 	
FREEWAY / EXPRESSWAY (R.O.W. ONLY)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> — SUFFICIENT WIDTH - - - TO BE WIDENED · · · · · TO BE ACQUIRED - - - PROPOSED/SUGGESTED ALIGNMENT * 	

