Request for Proposals for a Third Party Administrator and/or Prescription Benefits Manager Results

Human Resources Department



CITY OF HOUSTON BUDGET AND FISCAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE JANUARY 7, 2014

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION PLANS TOPICS

- Medical and Prescription Drug Plans Review
- 2013 Request for Proposals (RFP)
- Considerations:
 - Stand-alone Prescription Drug Plan
 - Integrated Medical and Prescription Drug Plan
- Recommendations

Medical and Prescription Drug Plans Review

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS REVIEW

- Self-insured since May 2011
- Third Party Administrator (TPA) contract expires April 2014.
- The City's plans cover 55,000 employees, pre-65 retirees and dependents
- Active employees = 82%; Pre-65 retirees = 18%
- Results-oriented Wellness Program

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS REVIEW CONT'D

- If the health benefits budget stood alone as a separate department, it would be the 5th largest behind Public Works, Police, Houston Airport System and Fire.
- Favorable claims experience caused actual plan cost to be less than budgeted expense.
- The city is retaining all claims risk volatility and not procuring stop-loss insurance.
- Savings will be used to establish a permanent catastrophic fund balance reserve.

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS REVIEW CONT'D

- Limited Network Plan
 - Kelsey Seybold Clinics
 - Renaissance Physician Organization
 - The Mayor Healthcare Group
- Open Access Plan
- Consumer Driven Health Plan with a Health Reimbursement Account (HRA)
- Retirees of Texas Option Plus (RTOP) for pre-65 retirees who reside outside of the Greater Houston Area but live in Texas

MEDICAL PLANS – HISTORICAL EXPENSES

(\$ in millions)

Year	Budget	Actual	% Change Over Previous Year	
FY10	\$ 292.69	\$ 286.00		
FY11	\$ 307.38	\$ 282.90	-1.08%	Strategic shift to self-insured
FY12	\$ 267.31	\$ 273.39	-3.36%	
FY13	\$ 301.26	\$ 271.49	-0.70%	
FY14	\$ 309.42	\$ 286.57 E	Estimated * 5.55%	

Fiscal Years are not comparative to Plan Year expenses due to accounting and accrual differences which include IBNR and reserves.

^{*} FY14 includes \$14 million catastrophic reserve.

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS – FINANCIAL SUMMARY

FY12 – FY14 Projected

(\$ in millions)

PLAN EXPERIENCE	FY12	FY13	FY14 Projected	3-Year Total
Premiums	\$259.30	\$293.62	\$311.18	\$864.10
Expense	\$273.39	\$271.50	\$286.57	\$831.46
Excess / (Deficit) in \$	(\$14.09)	\$22.12	\$24.61	\$32.64
Excess / (Deficit) %	(5.15%)	8.15%	8.59%	3.93%

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS – WELLNESS PROGRAM

Goal: Awareness, Engagement and Prevention

- Plan Year 1 Discovery and correction of health conditions
- Plan Years 2 and 3 Correction and maintenance of health conditions
- 98% employee participation in 2012 Wellness Engagements

Improved health = Lower cost, lower absenteeism, and higher productivity

2013 Request for Proposals for a Third Party Administrator (TPA) and/or Prescription Benefits Manager (PBM)

RFP FOR TPA AND/OR PBM

Options requested

- Third Party Administrator for an integrated medical and prescription drug plan
- Third Party Administrator for the medical plan only
- Prescription Benefits Manager only

RFP FOR TPA AND/OR PBM

TPA

- Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas
- Cigna
- Memorial Hermann Health Solutions (MHHS)
- United Healthcare

PBM

- MedImpact Health Care Systems, Inc.
- Express Scripts, Inc.
- Pharmpix Corporation
- Blue Cross Blue Shield of Texas
- Cigna
- United Healthcare

Twenty-nine (29) proposers requested and were provided invitations/access to the RFP website.

RFP – EVALUATION CRITERION

The Evaluation Criterion – published with the RFP

RFP AREA	MAXIMUM SCORE
Account Management/Claims Administration/Reporting	20%
Data Management/Technical Requirements	15%
Member Services/Communications/Wellness Initiatives/Disease Management/Network Delivery and Management	20%
Financials/Financial Stability/Banking	10%
Pricing/Cost	20%
Plan Design	5%
Qualification/Experience of Proposer & Staff	10%
TOTAL	100%

RFP – DUE DILIGENCE CONSIDERATIONS

- 1. Responses to letters of Clarifications
- 2. Interviews
- 3. Site Visits
- 4. Reporting Systems
- 5. City's access to reporting systems: Claims and Financial
- 6. Responses to the City's minimum business and contractual guarantees
- 7. Health improvements programs and reporting
- 8. Transfer of data to a City designated data warehouse
- 9. Stable member outreach and customer service
- 10. Network structure and discounts

RFP – EVALUATION COMMITTEE

CORE COMMITTEE

- Director, Solid Waste
- Deputy Director, Finance
- Deputy Director, Human Resources
- Assistant Director, Human Resources
- Benefits Manager, Human Resources
- Benefits Staff Analyst, Human Resources

OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

- Director, Finance
- First Assistant City Attorney, Legal
- Director, Human Resources
- Chief Procurement Officer, Finance

OTHER RESOURCES

- The Segal Company, Professional Consulting Services
- Sr. Procurement Specialist, Finance

Considerations:

- Stand-alone Prescription Drug Plan
- Integrated Medical and Prescription Drug Plan

RFP - PLANS CONSIDERATIONS

1. Stand-alone Prescription Drug Plan

- Manufacturers' discounts and rebates were comparable to prescription drug plans integrated with medical plans.
- Additional layer of administration systems and staff
- Separate administration fee
- Additional layer of complexity for members
- One vendor does not have a retail network in the U.S.
- Prescription drug and medical claims data are not in sync.
- Additional cost to transfer claims files between two systems

RFP - PLANS CONSIDERATIONS cont'd

2. Integrated Medical and Prescription Drug Plan

- One process for administration fees
- Manufacturers' discounts and rebates are comparable to stand-alone PBMs
- Members engage only one system.
- Prescription drug and medical plan systems are in sync and utilization data are easily integrated.
- Vendors have established networks in the U.S.
- No additional cost to integrate claims data

RFP – PLAN SELECTION COMMITTEE DECISIONS

- Integrated Medical and Prescription Drug Plan
- Retain self-funding.
- Retain current plan options:
 - Limited Network Plan
 - Open Access Plan
 - Consumer Driven Health Plan
 - Retirees of Texas Options Plus

Recommendations

RFP – TPA RANKING

Evaluator	BCBSTX	Cigna	UHC	MHHS
Committee	2	1	3	4
Segal	3	1	2	4

Overall, Cigna ranked #1 in the evaluation criterion.

- Pricing/cost lowest administrative fees
- Account management/claims administration/reporting
- Member services/communications/wellness initiatives/network delivery and management
- Financial arrangements and banking
- Data management and technical requirements
- Qualification/experience of proposer and staff
- Plan design

CIGNA'S VALUE

Cigna offers the best value.

- 1. Cost estimates indicate least volatility.
- 2. Lowest fixed administrative fees.
- 3. Proven results with proposed arrangement consistent with existing program.
- 4. Capitated program has performance incentive to improve health outcomes and lower costs.
- 5. Fee-for-service discounts offset total pricing by the lower administrative fees.
- 6. Capitated program serves a majority of City subscribers.
- 7. Drug costs are significantly less than current structure.
- 8. Prescription drug plan incentivizes generic dispensing, now at 85%, an \$8 \$12 million savings.
- 9. Performance guarantees augment financial projections.
- 10. No significant implementation costs involved.

CIGNA'S PROPOSAL

Financial Highlights	2015 -2017 (\$ in millions)
TPA Administrative Fees reduction	\$ 3.3
PBM pricing/concessions/rebates	\$ 13.1
Plan Cost Reductions	\$ 16.4

TPA and PBM favorable cost structure should have a positive influence on the trend line over the next three (3) years.

Other Highlights

- Strong Performance Guarantees up to \$3 million at risk each plan year
- Funding of five (5) discretionary onsite positions
- TPA administrative fees are guaranteed for three years.
- PBM administrative fees are guaranteed for three years.

RFP – MEDICAL TPA/PBM PROPOSALS

		Limited Network Plan	Open Access Plan	CDH Plan	Total
Subscr	Subscribers		5,076	979	24,022
Membe	Members		10,882	2,167	54,557
BCBS	Capitation	X			
	Fee-For-Service	X	X	X	
Cigna	Capitation	X	X	X	
	Fee-For-Service	X	X	X	
UHC	Capitation				
	Fee-For-Service	X	X	X	
MHHS	Fee-For-Service	X	X	X	

RFP – MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS COST

Plan-Year Estimates 2015 – 2017 (in millions)

Total Administrative Fees				
BCBS \$41.5 million				
Cigna	\$29.2 million			
UHC	\$40.9 million			

Proposers	Low 3-Year Estimate		High 3-Year Estimate		3-Year Average	
BCBS	\$	805.1	\$	874.7	\$	839.9
Cigna	\$	811.0	\$	844.9	\$	828.0
UHC	\$	783.8	\$	853.4	\$	818.6

Plan year estimates include:

- Medical and prescription drug claims;
- TPA fees;
- Capitation, as applicable; and
- Other access fees.

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS – MINORITY AND WOMEN BUSINESS ENTERPRISE

- RFP required commitment to award 15% of administrative fees in subcontracts to Cityapproved MWBE participants.
- As of January 3, 2014, Cigna had met 10.26% (\$2,192,528) of the 15% goal in the current contract.
- Cigna added two MWBE vendors, one in October and one in November 2013.

MEDICAL AND PRESCRIPTION DRUG PLANS - RECOMMENDATIONS

- Maintain self-insured funding.
- Contract with Cigna for administration of integrated medical and prescription drug plans.
- Maintain four self-insured plans:
 - Cigna Limited Network Plan
 - Cigna Open Access
 - Consumer Health-Driven Plan
 - Retirees of Texas Option Plus (RTOP)
- Maintain Health Care Reimbursement Account with \$500/\$1,000 City contribution for CDHP participants.
- Maintain wellness program with financial incentives and disincentives for participating in health improvement activities.

