

## Presentation to the City of Houston Budget and Fiscal Affairs Committee

## **Centralized Grant Writing Study Results**

Tuesday, October 2, 2018

Arif Rasheed, Deputy Director

**Gloria Moreno, Assistant Director** 



Section	<u>Slide</u>
Budget Amendment	03
Roles of FIN Grants Management/City Departments	6 04
Grant Opportunities – Primary Sources	05
Grants Data by Department	06
<ul> <li>Applications, Award, Amounts - Trend Analysis</li> </ul>	07
<ul> <li>Grant Writing Staffing Summary by Department</li> </ul>	08
Grant Writing Centralization - Pros/Cons	09
Centralized Grant Writing Comparison Study	11
Recommendations	13
Questions	14



On May 30, 2018, City Council approved Motion 2018-0281 "Central Grant Writing Office" which requires "Within 90 days the administration shall report to the Budget and Fiscal Affairs Committee an analysis of the creation of a central Grant Writing Office for all departments in the City of Houston. Many cities have centralized grant-writing functions to augment individual departments grant seeking efforts. This office can serve to increase grant revenue across all sectors of the City. The report should include a recommendation on the appropriate number of FTEs, ensuring that grant revenue generated exceeds expenditures at a rate commensurate with leading offices nationally."

## Roles of FIN Grants Management/City Departments



 AP 4-1 – "Grant Acquisition, Management and Compliance" identifies respective roles/responsibilities:

FIN Grants Management	City Departments
Provides technical and management     assistance to ensure federal compliance	• Prepares, submits and applies for grants
Coordinates citywide Single Audit	<ul> <li>Identifies match (cash/inkind) requirements</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Receives all grant applications for inclusion in the City's financial system</li> </ul>	Establishes internal controls, processes to monitor program and fiscal compliance
<ul> <li>Tracks and monitors key data elements for reporting purposes</li> </ul>	• Complies with Ord. 97-776 to seek Council approval for grants over \$400k or requires a cash match
• Provides technical assistance to departments from research, application to closing	<ul> <li>Monitors programmatic, fiscal, subrecipients for compliance</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Prepares policies and business processes to be in line with federal and state requirements</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Reconciles expenditures and revenues for all grant activities</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Maintains dashboards, grant revenue recovery and report preparation</li> </ul>	• Ensures that grants are closed with the Funder and in SAP



Pre-existing relationships with Funders – recurring grants

Formula Grants – CDBG; Homeland Security

Notices of Funding Availability – released through Office of Government Relations

eCivis – grant search engine for all federal, state and private funding sources; paid for by the General Fund, Finance Department



## GRANTS DATA BY DEPARTMENT

### Grant Applications/Awards/Amounts Trend Analysis – FY 2016-2018



Department	2016 Applications*	2016 Awards	2016 Award Amounts	2017 Applications*	2017 Awards	2017 Award Amounts	2018 Applications*	2018 Awards	2018 Award Amounts
Administration and Regulatory Affairs	3	0	\$0	2	1	\$ 3,224,800	7	3	\$ 182,789
Department of Neighborhoods	3	5	44,350	6	3	93,641	5	3	123,282
Finance <sup>@</sup>	1	1	100,000	0	0	0	2	2	213,016,398
Fleet Management Department	1	0	0	1	1	2,250,000	1	0	0
Housing and Community Department <sup>@</sup>	6	5	45,407,815	8	8	108,686,452	11	11	493,361,857
Houston Airport System	7	7	54,855,223	9	4	26,785,756	8	3	25,737,937
Houston Fire Department	4	4	5,733,540	6	1	2,961,714	6	1	1,391,957
Houston Health Department	89	72	63,074,848	91	71	65,055,118	88	56	66,955,332
Houston Police Department	27	24	10,925,824	27	19	9,028,285	28	26	20,938,548
Houston Public Library	8	2	90,000	2	1	34,975	7	6	203,589
Mayor's Office	9	5	18,196,436	17	6	21,322,992	18	12	19,581,890
Houston Public Works & Engineering	4	4	24,200,213	4	4	38,129,330	3	3	14,976,348
Municipal Courts Department	5	1	66,120	1	0	0	1	1	200,011
Office of Business Opportunity	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Office of Emergency Management	3	2	272,403	2	2	329,690	1	1	253,260
Parks & Recreation	17	15	12,112,785	22	19	9,562,628	14	13	3,908,047
Planning and Development	1	1	230,000	4	3	4,451,902	1	1	400,000
Solid Waste Department	1	0	0	0	1	64,303	2	0	0
Total Award Amounts by Year	189	148	\$ 235,309,557	203	144	\$ 291,981,586	204	142	\$ 861,231,245

<sup>@</sup>Increases due to Hurricane Harvey

Data Source: SAP Grants Module

#### Grant Writing Staffing Summary By Department



		RESP	ONSIBLE FOR GRAM	NT WRITING	
	Total # of% of FTE to TotalCosts Allocate			Costs Allocated to	
Department	Positions	FTE	Positions	Grants Writing	
Administration &	-	0.55	2.22	101 150	
Regulatory Affairs	3	0.55	20%	\$81,452	
City Controller's Office	0	0.00	0%	0	
City Secretary	0	0.00	0%	0	
Department of Neighborhoods	3	0.40	12%	46,611	
Finance	0	0.00	0%	0	
Fleet Management	1	0.10	10%	13,443	
General Services Department	0	0.00	0%	0	
Houston Airport System	4	0.35	8%	52,417	
Housing & Community Development	4	2.50	64%	303,527	
Houston Emergency Center	0	0.00	0%	0	
Houston		0.00		<i></i>	
Fire Department	1	0.60	60%	64,310	
Houston					
Health Department	62	24.00	39%	2,827,019	
Houston					
Information Tech	0	0.00	0%	0	
Services					
Houston	3	2.80	93%	244,713	
Police Department	3	2.00	3376	244,713	
Houston	9	7.30	93%	1,025,680	
Public Works	9	7.50	93%	1,025,680	
Legal	0	0.00	0%	0	
Library	2	0.70	34%	24,594	
Mayor - Economic Development	2	0.25	14%	37,104	
Mayor - Homeland Security	2	0.40	20%	57,239	
Mayor - Human Trafficking	2	0.40	20%	69,865	
Municipal Court Department	1	0.10	10%	12,871	
Office of Business	1	0.00	2.0%	12.110	
Opportunity	1	0.20	20%	12,410	
Parks &	3	1.50	46%	174,634	
Recreation	3	1.50	4076	174,034	
Planning &	0	0 0.05	0.00		
Development	0	0.00	0%	0	
Solid Waste	2	0.15	7%	19 655	
Management	2	0.15	/ 76	18,655	
TOTAL	105	42.3	41%	\$5,066,543	

Data Source: Department Survey

## Grant Writing Centralization – Pros/Cons



PROS	CONS
<ul> <li>Dedicated staff identified to seek and apply for grants</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Subject Matter Experts for key Departments are critical for nomenclature and relationship building</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Shared knowledge, resources and technical writing expertise</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Experienced staff removed from departments would result in "brain drain" of department</li> </ul>
Better organization and management for submission and compliance needs	<ul> <li>Grant management after receipt of award would be needed for smaller departments</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Smaller departments would benefit as long as flexibility for last minute submissions was available</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Centralization would reduce the strong relationships between funders and departments</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Consistency in responses would ensure streamlined City strategic goal and community impacts</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Existing staff provide more tasks for their departments than just writing the grant including project management, compliance monitoring, report submissions</li> </ul>

### Grant Writing Centralization – Pros/Cons (contd.)



PROS	CONS
<ul> <li>Could streamline grant application</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Centralization would be disruptive for</li></ul>
process for departments that do not	departments that have an existing
traditionally seek grants	grant infrastructure in place
<ul> <li>Currently, smaller department out</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Potentially result in discontinuation</li></ul>
source grant writing services	of funding
	<ul> <li>Would still require collaboration with departments for technical knowledge, industry statistics, specific federal and state rules and regulations</li> </ul>



#### San Antonio:

Does not have a Centralized Grant Writing Office; Grants are received by the City and issued to the various departments.

#### Philadelphia:

Does not have a Centralized Grant Writing Office; However the Grants office is in more of consultative role and depending on the need, provide guidance to the departments.

#### <u>Austin</u>:

Each department is responsible for writing their own grants.

#### San Diego:

Each department has a grant liaison that is responsible for writing their grants; there is a Centralized Grant Coordinator (CGC) but this person does not write grants. The role of the CGC is to facilitate communication, coordination and collaboration across all City Departments.



#### Dallas:

Operates with more of a hybrid model; most formula grants are handled by the individual departments. This includes grants like CDBG, HOME, HOPWA, WIC, etc.

All competitive grants should come through the Fund Development Office for review and submission.

Three departments (Library, Parks and Rec, Police) are the most grant-heavy and have a grant writer specific to their needs. Other departments don't have the same capacity and rely on the Fund Development Office to work with the subject matter experts to write and package applications.



Establish a hybrid system for grant writing functions:

- Maintain subject matter experts in departments receiving significant grant funding – HCD, HAS, HFD, HPD, MYR-Homeland Security, HPARD, HPW
  - Continue coordination with FIN Grants Management by submitting application opportunities through the Department Grant Opportunities link
- Dedicated staff for departments needing grant writing support due to resource limitations
  - Additional 2 FTEs, estimated costs up to \$230,000 dedicated to the following departments – ARA, DON, FMD, HPL, MYR- Human Trafficking, MCD, OBO, OEM, PD, SWD



# QUESTIONS