

Online Public Comments
2016 Major Thoroughfare and Freeway Plan Amendments

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Mercon, Billy & Theresa	A-2	No	I do not want this collector through my home & small neighborhood. It is my home & all that I have.	
Robert & Tracy Barnet	A-2	No	Please place this collector with the new 99 right of way & leave Thelma Lane & Monte Oaks as it is.	
JoAnne Stowe	A-2	No	We ask that you leave Monte Oaks as is and adjust A-2 collector to Johnson Road. We have the sewer plant and its cluster flies, the derailer rock plant, the water well facility & will have 99. Leave this low income housing as is. We are landscapers, plumbers, electricians, carpenters, retired and the disabled. Mostly needed services for the planned community. Johnson already has the brick home vista to complement the drive to N Lake Houston Parkway and this adjustment can be accomplished with minimal impact to your planned amendment adjustment. thank you for your consideration and co-operation. please leave Thelma Lane and Monte Oaks as it is now, it is only a small two street neighborhood. it facilitates growth of needed service industry for coming development that is currently serving King Wood, Wood Lands and many surrounding communities, Thank You.	
James Holmes	E	No	<p>We are against any new road development which would affect the Kay Prairie Conservancy.</p> <p>Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands should never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary.</p> <p>The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.</p> <p>The Katy Prairie has preserved over 20,000 acres of prairie and rather than seeing the benefits - for our kids, for nature, for flooding - many in our community see empty spaces for new homes.</p>	
Sandra Parker	E	No	Please don't build any roads within the Katy Prairie Preserve. You have seen what paving over areas, such as prairie has caused in the form of flooding in northwest Harris county. Leave the prairie as is and it will serve as a perfect means for controlling flooding, by allowing the water to slowly infiltrate the ground. Pavement, which is for the most part, impervious, only allows the water to run off quickly causing the flooding problems we see today. Thank you for using your good judgement and professional capability to vote against building more roads.	
Ruth Weber	E	No	After all the flooding this year, have y'all not learned we need to keep/protect our prairies, not cover more land with concrete.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Mary Lou Henry	C-1,3,4	No	<p>C-3 Realign Louetta; C-4 Delete House Haul Road; C-1 Realign Mound Road</p> <p>These comments are made on behalf of William Mandell, the owner of 241 acres on the south side of US 290 and to the immediate east of the Dunham tract, which is the originator of the proposed changes.</p> <p>Mr. Mandel is opposed to these changes, which are solely to benefit the Dunham tract without regard for the long-term reliance upon the established Thoroughfare Plan by Mandell and others already in the area.</p> <p>These proposed changes are premature given the announced route of the Bullet train from Houston to Dallas. The proposed row width and location are not reflected in the proposal to change the Plan... Apart from its transportation function, House Haul Road is a local reference point and landmark for people in western Harris County. For many decades it was the only route crossing through the big fields between US 290 and F.M.529. From that standpoint alone, it would be a shame to eradicate its existence at the highway. It now serves as access to many new homes and businesses and as a "back door" into the Bridge land community. It is proposed to be eliminated in favor of a road (Louetta) for which no row exists and which has no definite timing.</p> <p>The realignment proposed for Louetta appears to curve onto the Mandel property where the Bullet train is proposed and with a curve radius that would be below 2000', requiring a variance. Any portion of Louetta that would not be under the Train would be too close to the tracks to locate a driveway. Therefore, this realignment and sub-standard curve is to supplant House Haul at the US 290 interchange. If approved, Louetta south of UA 290 would intersect the highway more than a mile away from the Louetta intersection on the north side and would be confusing for drivers desiring to travel east/west. Additionally, tying Louetta in (where House Haul now exists) will create a route that doubles back with Mueschke on the north side. These proposed routes will be confusing to everyone.</p>	
Mary Lou Henry	C-1,3,4	No	<p>At the open house, the consultant for Dunham said that they wanted to have one thoroughfare north of the new rail line and one south. Mound Road is to be the northern one and would give access to the large area that will be left on the Dunham tract between the two rail lines. However, Mound Road on the Mandell tract will of necessity be south of the Bullet Train since the taking on the Mandell tract is proposed to be the entire area from the Bullet Train to the Union Pacific. Mound Road then, of necessity, will have to have an off-set intersection on House Haul. If House Haul is supplanted by Louetta, the off-set would be much more difficult to handle well because of the curve proposed for Louetta. It seems likely that Louetta on the Dunham property will need to tie into Mound Road on the Mandell property. The Mandell tract has a development permit from the Corps of Engineers. At the time Mandell received the permit, they were informed that the Dunham tract did not have a permit and has a large amount of jurisdictional wetlands, making any development very difficult. Again, in summary, I believe that any proposed changes are premature and should be considered in the future if at all.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Mary Lou Henry	D 4-6	No	<p>The map submitted with this request falsely represents all the property as the 11,000 acre Bridgeland Development, creating the misleading impression that all changes proposed are internal to the project. The Hornberger property is not shown, nor are properties belonging to two other owner. This is not correct and this proposed change should be disqualified from consideration on this basis alone.</p> <p>Hornberger Brothers purchased the property outlined on the map prior to the acquisition off Bridgeland for development by the Rouse Company. This acquisition, like, most made by Hornberger, was intended as a long-range investment. The Major Thoroughfare Plan at the time indicated that the property had frontage on two designated thoroughfares: Peak Road (planned) and House Haul Road. (Existing). Hornberger anticipated dedication of row and row widening as well as paving at the appropriate time in the future. Hornberger offered to Rouse and subsequent owners cooperate with any needs such an easement through their property.</p> <p>However, the developers of Bridgeland had consistently taken actions to deprive the Hornberger Brothers of property characteristics/advantages that they relied upon when purchasing the property. This realignment is the latest attempt. They worked with the engineers for the design of SH99 to move the grade separation with House Haul Road north a few hundred feet, then, constructed a new extension of House Haul (which goes nowhere) to qualify as an "existing road" for the grade separation. Then asked to remove the segment of House Haul east of SH 99 as a Major Thoroughfare and to swing the alignment Bridgeland Creek down to barely touch House Haul adjacent to the Hornberger property. NOW THEY WANT TO EXTEND BRIDGELAND CREEK WEST OF SH 99 IN A MANNER THAT WILL DEPRIVE HORNBERGER ENTIRELY OF FRONTAGE ON THE EAST-WEST THOROUGHFARE.</p>	
Mary Lou Henry	D 4-6	No	No reason is given for realigning Bridgeland Creek west of SH99 in the manner proposed. It can easily continue to swing to the south, then go west along the northern boundary of the Hornberger property (where House Haul already exists) and continue more or less due west to meet the alignment of House Haul near the intersection with Katy-Hockley Road.(please see attached drawing)	
Kathy Adams Clark	E		We have got to keep roads away from the Katy Prairie Conservancy property. The prairies are too vital to Houston, people, and wildlife. We've worked too hard to preserve the existing prairies. Please stop with the roads before there are no more prairies in Harris and Waller counties.	
Alexandra	E	No	Please don't build roads through the Katy Conservancy Prairie!	
Nancy Edwards	E	No	I am against building roads through or in a circle around the Katy Prairie. The part of the Prairie that is preserved needs to be larger - not smaller. When it rains really hard, the prairie soaks up the water. If the prairie is paved, all that water will be in the streets and homes of west Houston.	I am an interested resident

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Thomas Kelsey	E	No	<p>I have been a property owner on the Katy Prairie for 52 years and am very familiar with flooding issues especially along Sharp/Hebert and Pattison Roads. We own 700 acres in this area. We put a conservation easement on 478 acres in 1996. We appreciate the value of open spaces on the prairie. We are aware that if you develop these lands you will frequently need a boat to get to your house. Our house east of Pattison Road is 185' above sea level, the floodplain/floodway east to us starts at 175' and Cypress Creek gets out of it banks at 164'. The West Side Sporting Grounds, a sporting clays club east of our house, had 50" of current across its lands and major damage was done to their improvements.</p> <p>This last flood on April 15, 2016, (20" of rain) put 5' of flowing water out of Cypress Creek over Sharp Road and extended east all the way to Katy Hockley Cutoff which also crosses Cypress Creek. One of your proposed roads is an extension of FM 2855 north to connect with Sharp Road and further extend over Sharp Road north through the Walter's Ranch and the Warren Ranch to Mound Road. This planned road goes right through the heart of the floodway ?????</p> <p>Section 2.2 of your report acknowledges that ..."multiple creeks and bayous traverse the area, with each possessing substantial floodplains and FLOODWAYS, as well as wetland area..." This is the same area over which you propose to extend FM2855 north to Mound Road. The floodway of Cypress Creek is clearly shown on your maps and to build a road across this area would require an extensive and expensive bridge for the road to remain open.</p>	
Thomas Kelsey	E	No	<p>Regarding the north-south roads that you are planning, it makes much more sense to improve Katy Hockley Cutoff and FM 359 as north/south roads and to expand FM 529 on east/west directions makes much more sense if your desire is to have passable roads during the frequent floods.</p> <p>In addition, the Katy Prairie Conservancy KPC has protected 20,000 acres in these floodplain/floodway areas and in order protect this area which significantly improves the flow of flood waters into Cypress Creek and Buffalo Bayou, these roads need to be rerouted as I have suggested above. To disturb the KPC area with north/south roads is insane. Why spend tax payer money on roads that will be impassable. Consider what happened to FM 529 and Clay Road with the latest flood- both were impassable for more than a week. Barker and Addickes Reservoirs were at more than full capacity. If there continues to be more pavement north west of these reservoirs on the Katy Prairie, their ability to contain floodwaters may be exceeded. Note that this was a 20" rain, if a hurricane stalls over this area, it could be catastrophic for the City of Houston. Another example of insanity is the circular road around the KPC lands. That makes no sense unless the real goal is to prevent KPC from expanding it's protected lands in this area. Given the flood water protection inherent in KPC's lands, KPC should be encouraged instead of frustrated by the ridiculous circle around their lands. I attended the meeting at the Hockley Community Center and spoke with the planning "experts" re these north south roads and I made these same comments only to have them respond that they are required to have these north/south roads. The experts also told me, "Don't worry about these planned roads, they will never happen". I think when developers see planned roads on official maps, it influences them where they want to put their developments- more roof tops and pavement and more flooding. Does that mean they are required to have impassable flooded roads that damage water retention lands that KPC has gone to considerable expense to protect? As Einstein said, if you do the same thing over and over and expect a different result, you are insane. Please heed these warnings. Note that 47 cows and some horses drowned on our neighbors' lands off Sharp Road. That should give you a clue where not to build another road.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
William Warren	E	No	after reading the report further, I have the following comments based on the family history of the Warren Ranch, the Warren family and the property that is collectively owned by families. The following sections on Fig 6.5 of the report we take exception to as it would divide our land and created new roads that interfere with our ranching operations and do not connect anything substantial: 8B Mound Rd (Katy Hockley to Becker; 15 - support deleting Unnamed (Kermier - Mound to Sharp); 6 looks to not be on the list, but runs along Kermier from Mound to 290, should be deleted;	
Carol Lee	E	Yes	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve wild and untouched by roads through and around it.	
William Warren	E	No	These roads divide up the Warren Ranch that has been in the family for almost 150 years. As one of Harris County's largest and oldest ranching operations, if not the biggest and oldest, consideration should be given to the fact that we do not want, or need roads dividing the ranch. Katy Prairie Association will be submitting a detailed proposal that overlaps our concerns. We ask that this be taken into serious consideration. Furthermore, my immediate family takes exception to the Kermier Road both through the Warren Ranch and north from Mound Road to Betka. This road would split the property we own and divide us and Warren Ranch Rd. This road is not needed as it runs within a half a mile from Warren Ranch Rd. This road would not connect anything as it runs through family land that will continue to be ranching operations and virtually connect nothing.	
Billy E. Smith	E	No	Please do not proceed with the plans to destroy the fragile ecosystem that is the Katy Prairie. Any new roads in that area will rapidly and adversely affect the land and the wildlife indigenous to this area. This natural area provides habitat for endangered animals and plants as well as limiting flooding to downstream areas of Houston and its suburbs. New roads lead to massive new development and therefore massive destruction of natural land and wildlife. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.	
Aaron Newman	E	No	-Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands should never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary. -The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development. -The City of Houston should not a	
Lynn Henson	E	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads!! More cement = more flooding = more destruction = more costs.	
Jerry Caraviotis	E	Yes	I believe our area is in need of more green space and undeveloped spaces, not less. Please think for the future.	I am an interested resident
Carol La Breche	E	No	I fully support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads.	
Angie Poellinger	E	No	Please do not put roads thru the Katy Prairie, you will disturb a beautiful wildlife preservation area. They are very hard to come by now around Houston! Plus you are adding to the flooding of the area. Thank you!	
adam baker	E	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads	
Ann Grandich	E	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads	
John Donaho	E	No	As evidenced by the extreme flooding in May and June of this year, the Katy prairie ecosystem should be increased in size not reduced by additional roads and development. Those open prairie lands are vital to flood control now and in the future. It's far cheaper to preserve that land than to allow development and try to pay for remediation of flooding later.	
Summer King	E	Yes	I have been a supporter of the Katy Prairie Conservancy for over 10 years and have volunteered at their preserve multiple times. I have been a lifelong advocate of preserving our beautiful environment for future generations. While we need roads to get to these beautiful places, we do not need city roads through them. Please preserve intact the KPC land for future generations so that they can experience the Katy Prairie for themselves, learn to take care of it, and pass on the experience and knowledge to their children.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Garrett Warren	E	Yes	I support any initiative to keep the Katy Praire preserved and untouched. It must remain as wild and natural as possible. The area offers our region so much and we must protect it from further development and human distrubance. Please, please preserve the Katy Praire so that future generations will be able to benefit from it and it's unique wildlife and plant diversity.	
Dan Smith	E	No	While I am not a resident in that county, I frequently visit for the specific purpose of birding the Katy Prairie Conservancy, which is representative of a crucial, but rapidly disappearing habitat type. When I am there, I spend money at motels and restaurants, and I am sure many others from outside the area do as well. In addition to preserving this critical habitat, leaving the Katy Prairie Conservancy properties intact will preserve the inclinations of tourists such as myself to visit there and spend money. Remember, convenience of motorists should not trump everything else in the whole world.	
George Bigham	F-11	No	<p>Dear Mr. McNally,</p> <p>This email is about the proposed "Hurst Park" road construction project.</p> <p>My wife and I have lived on Indian Wells for the past 17 years, just about a block North of the WC 109 Detention pond at Lorinowoods and Indian Wells.</p> <p>Before the detention pond was built, it was common for heavy rain runoff to flow into Greenwood Forest's West boundary, at Scyrus Road, overflowing our storm system, and flooding Indian Wells, Pinewood Springs and sometimes Lorinowoods. So our hats are off to WC 109 for engineering and constructing a very effective storm drainage system. The Tax Day flood last April caused water damage to exactly one house in this part of Greenwood Forest. Without the detention pond there would have been much more damage.</p> <p>The proposed "F-11 West Richey/Weeds/West Greens/Bourgeois Roads" project with have major negative impacts on drainage for the West side of Greenwood Forest, as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Filling in the middle of the detention pond with dirt to allow the road to be built over it will significantly decrease the capacity of the pond. 2. Paving over dirt will in every location increase water run-off significantly, increasing the load on the detention poind, and will expose additional homes to risks of being flooded, especially homes on Scyrus, Theall. and Shiloh Church Road. <p>There are a number of alternate schemes that will accomplish the goals of increased automobile traffic without running the above risks. Mamy of them will take a lot less time, money, and neighborhood disruption as well. Here is one such plan:</p>	
George Bigham	F-11	No	<p>A1. Widen Theall from Cutten to Hollister to four lanes. This needs to be done anyhow, because of ongoing commercial construction and traffic on this road, which floods in every heavy rain. OR (but preferably AND)</p> <p>A2. Extend Greens Road from Cutten to Hollister.</p> <p>B. Widen Bourgeois from Champion's Forest Drive to Hollister (and probably rename that piece of Bourgeois to "Richey Road."</p> <p>Either of these plans will increase traffic flow without negative impact on drainage, while saving taxpayers significant amounts of money and aggravation during extended construction periods.</p> <p>When we first moved here in 1999 it was common for our dogs to be able to chase deer on and around Scyrus on our early in the morning walks in the fall. Increased construction South of 1960, especially fencing, has made deer sightings very rare these days.</p> <p>Of course the retention pond has attracted waterfowl, which is great. We do not have very much of the natural flora and fauna left in this area, and if possible, it would be great to hang onto the little bit that does remain.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
John Christy	F-11	No	<p>Dear City Officials,</p> <p>As longtime residents of the area we are the ones who will be most positively/negatively impacted by this proposed project. It seems to come down to added mobility and accessibility vs additional traffic, loss of green space and reduced flood water management. There is a lot of opportunity for arm waving, hyperbole and impassioned speech but it all comes down to this; our family thinks the interests of most people in the community are better served by the existing Hurst Park and the retention pond than they would be by an additional thoroughfare.</p>	I am an interested resident
Pam Diamont	F-11	No	<p>Dear Kevin McNally</p> <p>While I applaud efforts to improve mobility on Houston's major thoroughfares, as a resident of Greenwood Forest for 40 years, I respectfully implore the City of Houston Planning Commission to reconsider its F11 major thoroughfare plans for 3 reasons. These plans do not seem to have any positive impact on area mobility; the proposed roads will negatively impact property value, quality of life and greenspace in this community and they would be an enormous waste of tax payer dollars spent by our Water District #109 in the construction of a retention pond to decrease area flooding. What is being proposed in the F11 plans will have a negative impact on the value of all of the homes in this neighborhood, as well as for our neighbors in Bourgeois Forest which are nestled in an idyllic wooded setting. We've already seen entirely too much area surrounding Greenwood Forest developed into metal warehouse buildings, decimating acres of trees and wildlife. How pitiful and heartbreaking it is to watch coyotes and deer fend for themselves as the land on which they have lived, for decades, slowly disappears. F11 is more of the same. Houston is regularly criticized for its lack of greenspace. Finally, city planners are correcting this with parks like Discovery Green; Hermann Park and other new greenspaces. Why should our neighborhood not be given the same consideration?</p>	I am an interested resident
Pam Diamont	F-11	No	<p>It makes no sense to develop a road right through Hurst Park—the retention pond created to abate flooding in our neighborhood. The retention pond has protected Greenwood Forest from severe flooding this year. An added benefit of this pond is that it has now become a neighborhood park—an early morning ritual for me and a place where neighbors converge to walk dogs; enjoy nature and wildlife and build a sense of community. Plowing straight through this area with a 4-lane road to connect Hollister to Champions Forest is not about mobility. Hollister is never busy and I drive it at all hours of the day. This road would literally be the Greenwood Forest road to nowhere dead-ending into Champions Forest. For what reason? Certainly not area mobility. We already have access to Hollister via Theall. That's the road that should be widened since it has been developed into so many metal warehouse buildings. Has an environmental impact study been undertaken? A noise pollution study? Was there a traffic flow study undertaken that necessitates these thoroughfares?</p> <p>F11 undoes careful planning executed by the Water District, is wasteful government spending, robs neighbors of land, decimates greenspace and adds nothing to our quality of life. I hope that this is carefully considered and another plan ensues.</p>	
Brittany Anderson	F-11	No	<p>On behalf of the Board of Directors of Harris County Water Control & Improvement District No. 109, we offer the attached letter opposing the Proposed Major Thoroughfare and Freeway Plan Amendment F11: West Richey Road, Weeds Road, and Bourgeois Road re-alignment and reclassification. For any questions or concerns, please contact Ed Shackelford or Bradley Jenkins, Engineers for the District, at 281.363.4039.</p>	Board of Directors of Harris County Water Control & Improvement

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Richard Magsig	F-11	No	OPPOSE the F11 amendments; however, weSUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.	
Debra Ford	F-11	No	<p>While I applaud efforts to improve mobility on Houston’s major thoroughfares, as a resident of Greenwood Forest for 20 years, I respectfully implore the City of Houston Planning Commission to reconsider its F11 major thoroughfare plans for 3 reasons. These plans do not seem to have any positive impact on area mobility; the proposed roads will negatively impact property value, quality of life and greenspace in this community and they would be an enormous waste of tax payer dollars spent by our Water District #109 in the construction of a retention pond to decrease area flooding.</p> <p>When I bought my home on Pinewood Springs in 1996 I worked in downtown Houston. I bought this home for its wooded neighborhoods and surrounding forest areas. I was willing to make a daily 3-hour commute for 15 years to enjoy a neighborhood rich with wildlife, trees and greenspace. Had a major thoroughfare been located one block from my home, I would have never purchased it. What is being proposed in the F11 plans will have a negative impact on the value of all of the homes in this neighborhood, as well as for our neighbors in Bourgeois Forest which are nestled in an idyllic wooded setting. We’ve already seen entirely too much area surrounding Greenwood Forest developed into metal warehouse buildings, decimating acres of trees and wildlife. How pitiful and heartbreaking it is to watch coyotes and deer fend for themselves as the land on which they have lived, for decades, slowly disappears. F11 is more of the same.</p> <p>Houston is regularly criticized for its lack of greenspace. Finally, city planners are correcting this with parks like Discovery Green; Hermann Park and other new greenspaces. Why should our neighborhood not be given the same consideration? It makes no sense to develop a road right through Hurst Park—the retention pond created to abate flooding in our neighborhood. The retention pond has protected Greenwood Forest from severe flooding this year. An added benefit of this pond is that it has now become a neighborhood park—an early morning ritual for me and a place where neighbors converge to walk dogs; enjoy nature and wildlife and build a sense of community.</p>	I am an interested resident
Debra Ford	F-11	No	<p>Plowing straight through this area with a 4-lane road to connect Hollister to Champions Forest is not about mobility. Hollister is never busy and I drive it at all hours of the day. This road would literally be the Greenwood Forest road to nowhere dead-ending into Champions Forest. For what reason? Certainly not area mobility. We already have access to Hollister via Theall. That’s the road that should be widened since it has been developed into so many metal warehouse buildings.</p> <p>Has an environmental impact study been undertaken? A noise pollution study? Was there a traffic flow study undertaken that necessitates these thoroughfares?</p> <p>F11 undoes careful planning executed by the Water District, is wasteful government spending, robs neighbors of land, decimates greenspace and adds nothing to our quality of life. I hope that this is carefully considered and another plan ensues.</p>	
Jeffrey Hendron	F-11	No	Dear Sirs. I am sending this e-mail to you to protest the proposed road that would go thru the Hurst detention pond that is located in the Greenwood Forest subdivision. This is a terrible plan. Your idea on how to contact the home owners effected by this road was non existed. Do not put this road thru. Bad, bad idea	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Cynthia and Barry Rosendale	F-11	No	<p>Dear Commissioner Cagle and Mr. Mohite;</p> <p>We are against the F11 proposal and are asking alternatives be considered. We did not receive any letters about this proposal even though it directly affects our neighborhood.</p> <p>We just learned of the F11 proposal which directly affects Greenwood Forest Subdivision as well as the surrounding neighborhoods. The F11 Weeds Connector is of most concern because not only does it take away the only green space we have but will add to the flooding issue (again) and even worse..will direct more traffic onto Champion Forest Dr!! This park was just established less than 2 years ago and is used by many families throughout Greenwood Forest. Please do not take this long overdue park away. This is the only green space we have and ironically we have all been raving about how nice it is to have, then we learn about this. But, this is only part of why we are against it.</p> <p>Champion Forest Drive goes through the middle of our subdivision with a speed limit of 30mph and several stop signs. The Greens Rd/Weeds Rd connector will dump more traffic onto Champion Forest. There are already a lot of trucks coming through that would be better served if they could use Richey Rd. The Richey Road extension appears to make sense and is closer to the many office buildings. Warehouses.</p> <p>It is unfortunate that our subdivision was not aware of the public hearing in June as I believe there would have been a good showing of people concerned about this. As is, the public hearing on July 14th is during the business day and therefore prohibitive for many of us to get downtown.</p> <p>Please look at the alternatives. The proposal is going to do a great amount of damage to our neighborhood, our property values, the flooding and demolishing the only park we have.</p>	I am an interested resident
Michael O'Connor	F-11		<p>Mr. Smith,</p> <p>Although this correspondence appears to satisfy your desire to accommodate the concerns voiced by the residents of the Greenwood Forest subdivision, there appears to be a troubling vacancy in your consideration of the Bourgeois Forest subdivision. Two residents from this subdivision pleaded with you in the open meeting last night to reassess the impact that a combination of the Hollister, Weeds and Bourgeois Road expansions would have on the 48 lots in this neighborhood. The room resounded with the sound of applause in support for their heart wrenching stories of what your plans would do to their property values, to the disruption in their property ownership, and for the elimination of the quiet enjoyment of their residences. I believe that it takes an especially cold hearted individual to ignore the pleas of these constituents, and then to amend the proposal the next day to have an even greater detrimental impact on their properties in the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood. Your new proposal will completely frame the 48 acres that make up this community with two high traffic highways on the west and southern boundaries. Then, according to your plan, the north and east side of the community will next be bound by Weeds Road which will allow vehicles merely a 'shortcut' around the intersection's traffic light at Bourgeois road and Hollister.</p> <p>The current mean value attributed to the homes in the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood is ~ \$350,000 and there are 48 lots, so when each lot is built out, the total value is \$16,800,000. The current estimated devaluation of these properties is set at ~ 20% and now maybe more if you complete your proposed roadways and execute the necessary eminent domain actions against the current property owners. This is a real dollar property devaluation of \$3,360,000.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Michael O'Connor	F-11		<p>Mr. Smith,</p> <p>As I continue to review my notes on your presentation at the Greenwood Forest clubhouse, I am not able to correlate the dislike you voiced on the 'S' curve option for Weeds Road with the revisions that you presented as an alternative to your original proposal.</p> <p>It appears to me that you have moved down the alphabet by trading a tricky 's' curve on Weeds Road with a deadly 'Z' transition design. I do pray that people who are traveling north on your revised version of Weeds Road, will know that there is a >90 degree turn for them to make after traveling ½ mile in a fairly straight line. The current car and motorcycle racing that occurs nightly on Hollister is a good indication of what the half mile of Weed Road's straight line run will enable. With the abrupt change from north to west at the northern boundary of our community, I fear that your instincts and your negative comments about the 'S' road design at the community meeting Wednesday evening, were well founded.</p>	
Michael O'Connor	F-11		<p>In your title, you show that you have earned the honor of attaining P.E. certification. This speaks to the fact that you have been trained to a level of extraordinary engineering competence. The more that I study the thought process that you employed for recommending the first F-11 MTFP proposal, and review the basis for your revised recommendations, the more convinced I become that you are not using your full potential to assess the F-11 proposal. We may need to request a fresh opinion from a Harris County engineer that may be less busy, or more familiar with road placement and direction transition designs. I will attempt to keep our community informed on the terrible impact that your plan will have on our homeowners. I was interviewed by Channel 13 today, and I remain committed to be as respectful as possible to you and your engineering team. Common sense often speaks for itself, so that will be the true challenge to your current and prior F-11 road plan proposals.</p>	
Kenneth Latimer	F-11	No	<p>To Whom It may concern,</p> <p>My wife and I are 38-year residents of Greenwood Forest. We were recently and belatedly informed of your plan to build a major thoroughfare across neighboring subdivisions, a recently completed retention pond and park at the rear of our neighborhood, KISD property and ending at the tree lined and beautiful boulevard that divides our subdivision, Champions Forest Drive.</p> <p>A brief but careful review of this plan and listening to a Mr. Smith from Harris County road engineers fails to show me any positives from this plan. It appears to move a perceived traffic problem from one location and cause a real traffic problem in several areas to include where it dead ends in our subdivision. In addition to adding to already increasing traffic issues it will undoubtedly increase noise, flooding issues, destruction of dwindling greenspace, safety and security issues and serve no useful purpose to anyone in this area. It will dramatically increase traffic in an area currently housing elementary, middle school and high schools in which many walk to and from these schools. It will destroy a recently completed park and retention pond totally wasting spent taxpayer dollars.</p> <p>In the interest of all this plan should be scrapped and future plans should openly include input from involved subdivisions and residents.</p> <p>I oppose the F11 amendments as proposed. I support the deletion of the ROW's for the WEEDS/BOURGEOUS/RICHEY RELIGNMENT and support designating BOURGEOUS ROAD a major thoroughfare.</p>	I am an interested resident

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Nicholas Nucho	F-11	No	<p>You and your family should come and visit this pristine area that is planned to be gutted to build a road through it. Take all your family it is worth it. The map is not correct. It's a beautiful area with deer running and exotic birds, surrounding a man made lake - water retention, with a walk way around it (1/2 a mile circle). You will enjoy the walk.</p> <p>My reason for this email is to inform you that it is a "CRIME to gut this area to build a road leading to no where". This action destroys the environment (exotic birds + deer etc.). Also, it will hurt many people who live in this quiet neighborhood which is part of Greenwood Forest. Also, I (67 years old) and ride my bicycle there for my health since there's no other place left to ride and walk in this neighborhood which is as good....that will hurt me and my health too.</p> <p>I don't know who profits from this action and ask you to look at who's the beneficiary of this road. Someone is making a profit and unjustly enriched at the expense of the environment and 100's of residences who live in this neighborhood.</p> <p>Please STOP this plan. This is my vote. And please feel free to forward this email to whoever you need to help you STOP THIS INSANITY.</p>	I am an interested resident
DEBRA FORD	F-11	No	I am a resident of Greenwood Forest and very unhappy to just hear yesterday of plans to build a major thoroughfare not 2 blocks from my home.	I am an interested resident
Nicholas Nucho	F-11	No	<p>Dear Kevin,</p> <p>My guess is someone wants to make money out of this bizarre project, and that somebody does not care about what's destroyed. Come and visit this area - seriously, bring your family too, and you'll quickly realize this.</p> <p>Hope you'll find those criminal....</p> <p>Good luck and God Bless you to eradicate and stop destroyers of our environment and neighborhoods.</p> <p>Nicholas Nucho,</p>	I am an interested resident
Mark Means	F-11		<p>I support the addition of the F-11 Weeds Major Collector MJ-4-80 however additional storm drainage work scope must be added beyond "This would result in bisecting the existing WCID pond. An equalizer pipe would be needed to connect the bisected ponds. The pond would lose a little detention capacity, but this could be corrected by adding additional depth or expanding the pond." Virtually all the homeowners in Greenwood Forest are concerned with the amount of street flooding that was experienced during the heavy rains of April & May.</p> <p>I also support deleting the F-11 Richey T-4-100 Realignment.</p> <p>However I do not support the addition of the F-11 Bourgeois Minor Collector MN-2-60 UNLESS additional drainage work scope is added to conduct flood waters south away from Greenwood Forest. My assumption is that Greenwood Forest storm drains feed (eventually) into Greens Bayou and thus adding storm drain capacity to this section would be beneficial to Greenwood Forest. If additional storm drain capacity is not added then I do not support the MN-2-60 addition.</p> <p>Persons I characterize as "Environmentalists" will object to the destruction of habitat. I do not characterize myself as an Environmentalist and so do not have any objections on those grounds.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Anthony L. Montes, CPA	F-11		The detention pond (Hurst Park) you are proposing to bisect was constructed by WCID 109 and dedicated on March 9, 2015. I understand it was constructed by WCID 109 because Harris Co. would not do anything to relieve the flooding in Greenwood Forest Section 6 where I have lived for almost 41 years. My home on Pinewilde Drive has never flooded, but homes on Pinewood Springs and Green Springs Drive have not been as fortunate. Since the pond construction, our flooding appears to have almost been eliminated, even with all the rain this year. Now the Harris Co. Engineering Dept. is proposing to bisect our beautiful detention pond which could likely result in the return of serious flooding, not to mention destroying our beautiful park. I am in possession of several letters between various jurisdictions (Precinct 4, HCFC, WCID 109 and the Harris Co. Engineering Division) dated in 2002/2003, and the county agencies disclaimed any responsibility for taking action to alleviate the flooding, and in one instance suggested it was a flaw in the original subdivision design. Due to the lack of action on the part of any of the county agencies, WCID 109 took action in the interest of the affected homeowners and constructed the detention pond adjacent to Greenwood Forest Section 6. One of the letters in my possession is a 7-16-2003 Memorandum from Patrick D. Garrett, P.E. representing the Harris Co. Public Infrastructure Department Engineering Division to Commissioner Jerry Eversole of Precinct 4. I will most likely not be able to attend the July 14th Public Hearing, but will share the information I have with the Harris County Engineering Department in case it is needed. Surely there must be some way to avoid bisecting our detention pond which protects Greenwood Forest Section 6 from flooding. I might add it is a beautiful fenced and lighted park with walking trails and a water "spout". Please consider this an urgent yet respectful request to not bisect the Hurst Park detention pond.	
Berhanu Bogale	F-11	No	I live next to Hollister and it is already creating big traffic and suggest considering routes following greens bayou which will less nosier to our community. Also these new road will affect the natural habitat of the wild birds living along side of Hollister road. my add: 11602 champions walk ln, Houston, Tx, 77066	I am an interested resident
Michael O'Connor	F-11	No	please do not allow the right of way that will take so much personal property away from the current landowners. This proposal has an extraordinary negative impact on the home and lot owner's quiet enjoyment of their residences, and this also creates an exceptional reduction in their property value evaluations. Please abandon this amended proposal, and I strongly suggest an abandonment of all of the right of ways held by the city on the Glorious Way Church property. Thank you for taking the time to consider my high levels of concern regarding these proposals. The widening of Bourgeois Road would be a good idea, and the removal of the stop sign that is on Bourgeois Road at the school would be beneficial and a cost friendly way to increase the traffic flow on Bourgeois Road.	
Michael Eckenfels	F-11	No	Please- No development on the Katy Prairie. I ask that you honor the wishes of it's stewards. It's supposed to be a preserve and it's well recognized as one of the most valuable areas in the southern central USA for bird conservation. In the past 40 years, bird populations have plummeted by 50%. We are in bad need of pristine habitat, not just for birds, but for native plants, mammals, reptiles, and invertebrates. We are down to less than 1% of the original gulf prairie ecosystem. Vehicles bring chemical residues and inevitably more and more human encroachment. We need this habitat to remain as untouched as possible, please.	
Mary Scriber	F-11	No	Definitely opposed to any form of north- south road near Bourgeois Forest Dr, north - south traffic will be drawn to existing Champion Forest or Hollister. We clearly need for Bourgeois Rd to be 4 laned to expedite the movement of east - west traffic. Mary Scriber 11834 Bourgeois Forest Lot No. 30	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
SHERN SEN	F-11	No	I am AGAINST MTFP case # F-11. The Bourgeois community does not want to be in the middle of two busy roadways. I am supporting the alternative to which the existing Bourgeois Road will be broadened to a 4-lane throughway from Richey and Cutten Road and at the three (3) way stop sign there will be a traffic light. This is a better alternative because it will also help protect our children during school hours and prevent drivers from running through the current stop signs and other that have no regard to rules of the school zone.	
ALAH SEN	F-11	No	I oppose the amendments to put a roadway near Bourgeois Forest. I support an alternative to which the existing street from the three (3) way stop sign will be widen to a 4 lane road from Richey and Cutten Road.	
Donald & Henrietta McClosky	F-11		I am for the widening of Bourgeois.	
Robert Romero	F-11	No	I am against the 2016 MTFP Amendment Requests. Encouraging more roads and development of the Katy Prairie area is not smart development. It is simply more of the same urban sprawl and does not take into account the negative impacts these amendments would have on the Katie Prairie, where sustained efforts are being made to preserve and restore as much as possible of this unique natural resource. Specifically, - Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary. - The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development. - The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain. - The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.	
Marilyn Henricksen	F-11	No	I Oppose F11 amendment. I Support deletion for tight of way for Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment. I support designating Bourgeois Rd as a major thorough fare	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Lois Rutherford	F-11	No	<p>To Whom It May Concern,</p> <p>In regards to MTFP amendment F-11, I very much OPPOSE the realignment of W. Richey Rd./Weeds Rd./Bourgeois Rd. Growing up in SW Houston and living in Greenwood Forest since 1999, I have seen many changes in the greater Houston area -- specifically roadways, traffic and major growth outside the city limits.</p> <p>As the growth continues, it is important that new roads and realignment of existing roads are planned so that we have the most impact on mobility, while minimizing the disruption to existing neighborhoods, green spaces and area wildlife.</p> <p>This F-11 amendment would create a new road by cutting through the neighborhood of Bourgeois Forest and disrupting the green space and retention pond recently built by WCID #109. This retention pond was put in within the last two years and a walking trail/park was put in place shortly after that. Not only does it provided much needed drainage for Greenwood Forest, it is also a convenient greenspace for nearby residents and a thriving habitat to wildlife. Our neighborhood has benefited greatly and many of our homeowners avoided property damage during the recent floods.</p> <p>Wouldn't it make sense to widen an existing road, rather than create another connector that, as of now, would dead end at Hollister. And would it not be more cost effective to work on an existing road?</p> <p>That is why I OPPOSE this F-11 realignment, but SUPPORT designating existing Bourgeois Road as a major thoroughfare. Please consider carefully the road system in place and make improvements on existing thoroughfares rather than creating new ones. Progress and change is inevitable, but we can all work together to limit the negative impact on surrounding neighborhoods, greenspace and wildlife, while achieving positive results in mobility.</p> <p>Thank you for your consideration in this matter.</p> <p>Lois Rutherford</p>	
Brendan Merriweather	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to MTFP Case # F-11, which are the amendments to place a roadway near the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood. I am in support of designating Bourgeois Forest Road as a 4 lane thoroughfare between Richey and Cutten Road only if there will be a proposal to alleviate the traffic so that I will be able to get out of out my neighborhood (Bourgeois Forest). Bourgeois Road is the only outlet for this neighborhood.</p>	
Ron Merriweather	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to MTFP Case # F-11, which are the amendments to place a roadway near the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood. I am in support of designating Bourgeois Forest Road as a 4 lane thoroughfare between Richey and Cutten Road only if there will be a proposal to alleviate the traffic so that I will be able to get out of out my neighborhood (Bourgeois Forest). Bourgeois Road is the only outlet for this neighborhood.</p>	
Rhonda Merriweather	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to MTFP Case # F-11, which are the amendments to place a roadway near the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood. I am in support of designating Bourgeois Forest Road as a 4 lane thoroughfare between Richey and Cutten Road only if there will be a proposal to alleviate the traffic so that I will be able to get out of out my neighborhood (Bourgeois Forest). Bourgeois Road is the only outlet for this neighborhood.</p>	
Jerry Pham	F-11	No	<p>Hello, I live in the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood. I opposed building another new road through our neighborhood. I supported expanding the existing Bourgeois Road as a 4 lane thorough fare between Richey and Cutten Road.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Gay Whitney	F-11	No	<p>Our Property We are a major landowner adjacent to the Katy Prairie Conservancy’s preserve system. A major portion of our eastern boundary, in addition to running through a portion of our existing property, is the proposed Mound/Kickapoo north-south major thoroughfare. We strongly oppose Harris County’s proposal to enhance this roadway from the existing end of Mound Rd running north-south to Hebert. We also strongly oppose the extension of Jack Rd running east-west through the northern part of our property.</p> <p>North-South Mobility Because the City currently has adequate north-south mobility along existing major thoroughfares, including Katy-Hockley and FM 359, an additional thoroughfare dividing conservation lands and running along our eastern property line is unnecessary and detracts from the “wide open” spaces provided by the Katy Prairie Conservancy’s prairie system. This is demonstrated not only by the traffic counts in Harris County’s draft study, but by the proposed growth scenarios outlined in the study. Currently, major suburban development is along 290 and then farther east with Bridgeland. Because the Grand Parkway will lie to the east, with major interchanges at West, Tuckerton, Bridgeland Parkway, Jack and Mound, Katy-Hockley will serve as the major north-south thoroughfare for developments east of the 20,000 acres of conserved lands owned by the Katy Prairie Conservancy. To the west of the preserved lands, 359 and 362 provide that same north-south access to 290 and I-10, all within a 5 mile radius. We believe this should meet standard design criteria for more rural development which can be expected since the conservation lands will never be developed.</p>	I am an interested resident
Gay Whitney	F-11	No	<p>East-West Mobility Currently, the Grand Parkway will have an interchange at West Road and the MFTP shows West connecting to Katy-Hockley Rd to travel north, and then to Sharp Road to connect further west. By making this connection, including the turns, the City of Houston provides for mobility but in a way that enhances traffic calming measures (and lower speeds). We support this east-west connection.</p> <p>We support enhancing Katy-Hockley to provide for north-south traffic as envisioned and believe by enhancing north-south mobility, the City and County could still meet the mobility needs of travelers from east-west with existing roadways. This is demonstrated, for example, when Katy-Hockley connects to Jack Road that then connects to Warren Ranch Road, again providing a traffic calming measure but ensuring mobility for those that need to get east-west. Once on Warren Ranch road, traffic can flow to Betka, a major thoroughfare.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Gay Whitney	F-11	No	<p>Similarly, the Grand Parkway will have an interchange at Tuckerton and we oppose applicant Bridgeland's request to realign that road so that the proposed diagonal realignment across our properties would have been supported. In particular, we support the following road segments identified by 2016 IDs from the County's draft plan for deletion:</p> <p>New 2016 ID Street Street Segment 4 Badtke FM 2920 to Waller County Line 14 Binford Mound to Jack/Waller Road 15 Kermier Mound Rd to West Rd 16 Smalley Binford to Kickapoo 17 Smalley Kickapoo to Warren Ranch Rd 18 Warren Ranch Rd/Pitts Jack Rd to Longenbaugh Rd 20 Badtke Mound to West Rd 21 Louetta Badtke to Katy Hockley 24 Unnamed Binford to Kermier (deletion) 26 Hebert Herbert to Katy Hockley 27 Sharp Tuckerton/Sharp to West (see 50)48 Schlipf Sharp Rd to Hebert R</p> <p>We also oppose the following amendments because they would require a realignment that would promote increased fragmentation of our preserves:</p> <p>New 2016 ID Street Street Segment 23 Jack Binford to Kermier Rd (realignment) 25 Unnamed Kermier to Katy Hockley (realignment) 29 Jack Rd Grand Parkway to Katy Hockley; (delete Jack from Kermier to Waller County line) 30 Louetta Rd to Jack Road Flooding</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Gay Whitney	F-11	No	<p>This plan should take into consideration Houston’s major flooding problem, and how that problem increases as poor development and inadequate mitigation is created by the patchwork of governmental entities charged with responsibility for small pieces of the overall puzzle. The draft study recognizes the area of concern when it states:</p> <p>“Cypress Creek and the Cypress Creek Overflow present a great challenge to connectivity due to the cost associated with bridge construction, which would ultimately be the responsibility of Harris County.”</p> <p>But it’s not just bridge construction. Cypress Creek’s flooding and the overflow from historical developments will continue to limit development in this region. Katy Prairie Conservancy lands will also limit that development. This is particularly important as it showcases why Jack Road west should not be preserved but instead deleted and not realigned as requested by Harris County (New 2016 ID 23). First, as Harris County’s own study shows, the current alignment has major physical constraints caused by the floodway/floodplain of Mound Creek. Second, the continuation of Jack Road west past Warren Ranch Road will impact two streams that were restored/improved as part of Katy Prairie Conservancy’s stream mitigation project. Building this road would require significant replacement of mitigation credits and construction of two bridges to span the stream—the very reason why Harris County has sought deletion of other roads in the floodplain/floodway. Likewise, as discussed, east-west mobility is preserved through the existing connections from Jack Road to Warren Ranch Road north to Betka, already designated a major thoroughfare. If mobility is driving these proposed amendments, then the Planning Commission should take into account the existing physical constraints caused by flooding in this area and ensure east-west mobility by utilizing existing traffic calming “bends and turns” through the preserves which will continue to provide for more rural development.</p> <p>This is particularly important to us as well since if not deleted, Jack Road would run through the northern portion of our property.</p>	
Gay Whitney	F-11	No	<p>Conclusion</p> <p>As a major property owner within the City’s ETJ, we understand the City’s need to plan for the future. But that future cannot ignore on-the-ground realities, including the benefits provided by the Katy Prairie Conservancy. In particular, the Planning Commission should recognize the existing physical constraints created by the preserve system, as well as the flooding in this particular region and account for mobility in a way that protects “rather than detracts” from this jewel.</p>	
Mayra Yazmin Gomez	F-11	No	<p>I propose to widen Bourgeois Rd to a 4 lane road from Cutten Rd to W. Richey and to eliminate a 3 way stop sign at W. Richey and Bourgeois Rd to a traffic light. This will alleviate the congestion in this road.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Marianna Rojas	F-11	No	<p>After visiting Greenwood Forest, I instantly fell in love with the neighborhood. I love the small town feel and all the trees and wildlife that surround it. There's an undeniable charm about this place. When it came time to buy our first home, Greenwood Forest was the clear choice. We were so happy when a house on Lorinowoods Drive went up for sale in 2013. Our house backs up to the forest/green space; that was a big part of the reason we bought it. It's not uncommon to see rabbits feeding in our backyard, woodpeckers building their nest, or big owls hanging out by our garage. There's also deer and other wildlife that live there. My kids love looking out the window and seeing all the different species. It makes them want to learn more about them. It would really be a shame and sad to rob these animals of their home. Where would they go? There's even endangered species that live there, specifically the red-headed woodpecker. We have to preserve these animals so our kids and future generations get to enjoy these beautiful creatures. We have to protect those that cannot protect themselves.</p> <p>The retention pond was built shortly after I moved in and was made into Hurst Park. Hurst Park has been so beneficial to this community. I feel so lucky that it's located just at the end of our street. Not only does it help with flooding issues, but Greenwood Forest does not have sidewalks; this park provides a safe place for neighbors to get exercise without having to worry about passing cars. Cutting through the park to put a road would mean: dollars down the drain, flooding issues for our community, taking land from homeowners, and it would negatively impact life enrichment.</p> <p>I don't believe F11 will help with mobility issues or be beneficial to most of the community. The plan does not make sense. There are better ways to achieve mobility. I oppose the F11 amendments and support the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment.</p> <p>Thanks for your time and consideration.</p>	
Corky Jones	F-11	No	The road will cause too much traffic in an already crowded area. A better solution is to widen Bourgeois Rd to Cutten.	I am an interested resident
Rachael Briwn	F-11	No	I do not support the proposal to widen Bourgeois Forest Rd nor do I support the proposal to have a road run parallel to Mitchell Ln. Both of these proposals would severely diminish the quality of life for homeowners and their families along both routes. By increasing the traffic flow you are ensuring that we are exposed to unsafe conditions by drivers who will now reach greater speeds, heavy traffic noise and more traffic overall than we are seeing now. You propose to add to our problem, not solve it in the least. There is an easy solution however, install a traffic light where there is currently a stop sign on Bourgeois Forest Rd. This solution will eradicate the bottle neck effect and ensure our safety and the safety of our children is not compromised. Our City Council members are supposed to represent us and I would like to see that done here.	
Elizabeth Glass	F-11		I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. We need this habitat!	
Diane P. Russell	F-11	No	My family and I have driven to Katy Prairie Conservancy properties to enjoy wildlife and get away from noise and traffic for 20 years. Please leave our community one last island of refuge from development, as New Yorkers did with Central Park.	
Marina Rossow	F-11	No	Stop building roads through conservation lands; keep Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. The prairie is important to the region; pass-through and ring roads would degrade the conservation values of these lands and severely reduce the important benefits the prairie provides to the citizens of Houston, Harris County, and Waller County.	
Cindy Chapman	F-11	No	The Katy Prairie deserves protection from roads cutting across the prairie. I am against the proposed road. The prairie protects habitat and offers greater absorption of heavy rainfalls upstream of downtown Houston and thousands of homes.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Chae Chin Miller	F-11	No	<p>Please do not allow the planned road to be built. The proposed road will have a direct negative effect on the entire community located along the Bourgeois Forest Drive. The road will cut right along our back yards. There is no provision for noise abatement. I also have concerns about possible flooding. The recent record rains the area received during the last several months were terrible. Our community was fortunate to be spared; however, the fields where the road is planned to be installed were absolutely saturated with water and I believe if a road is installed it will act as a dyke preventing the water runoff from the community to the field and may result in future flooding. If this occurs property values will be affected not to mention increased insurance rates and damage to property. The end result is a significant reduction in property values. In addition I am concerned with what the development plans are for the currently empty land adjacent to where the proposed road route is. The property also contains some very old trees, an old Oak in particular, which are becoming more and more rare. I feel this should also be addressed in the planned development of the area. The land is owned by a developer; however, the oak was there way before Houston was even Houston. It should be required to make a development plan that includes saving the tree for future generations to have the pleasure of seeing. The traffic noise and added security risks due to our back yards being open to a major road are also unacceptable in my opinion. I also feel it would be better to remove the manual stop signs and install a traffic light system at the intersection of Bourgeois Road and West Richey Road near the elementary school. The traffic gets backed up at the intersection because the stop signs were never designed to support the traffic volume in the morning and afternoon. I cannot see any benefit for the proposed road except to the owner of the property. Installing the road would immediately boost the value of the empty land opening it up to development and reducing the value of my property at the same time. The added irony is I would be paying for the reduction in value of my property with my tax dollars which would be used to pay for the road. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THE ROAD PLAN TO BE APPROVED!!!! Thank you for allowing me to have my voice heard.</p>	I am an interested resident

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
DuWayne Miller	F-11	No	<p>Can see no reason for the proposed road other than increasing the access to the property adjacent the road on the currently undeveloped land. The developer will have a significant boost in the value of their property while having a dramatic effect on the value of the properties bordering the proposed road. Since moving into the neighborhood in 2010 the Hollister road was opened up through to FM 1960 and the traffic noise has dramatically increased. I can hear that added noise in my house which is across the street from the houses that Hollister road runs behind. There is no proposal for noise abatement if the road is installed opening my backyard directly onto a proposed major thoroughfare. The impact of the road cannot have any positive effect on my or my neighbors properties and will additionally result in all of us having to spend thousands of dollars increasing security and constructing fences. In addition there has been no disclosure as to the future use for the currently undeveloped land if the road is constructed. In my opinion no news is not good news. Full disclosure needs to be made. The current traffic flow problem along the Bourgeois Road running in front of the Klenk Elementary School is a result of the intersection of Bourgeois Road and West Richey Road which is controlled by manual stop signs. The solution, which in my opinion, would be much more cost effective is to put automated traffic signals at that intersection removing the current bottleneck situation along the road during morning and evening rush hours. The proposed road route does not solve any traffic flow issues it just opens up a tract of land at taxpayer expense for a real estate developer. Lets try installing some automated lights first and let the developer do just what the Community on Bourgeois Forest Drive had to do with their access road.....PAY FOR IT AND MAINTAIN IT THEMSELVES!! Please do not allow the road to be built.</p>	
Caroline Anderson	F-11	No	<p>Years ago when I made the comment that one day FM1960 would be a second Westheimer, I was not believed. Certainly both FM1960 and Hollister are main thoroughfares, but the adaption of most of the versions of the Richey, Weeds, Bourgeois roads thoroughfare plans, would not serve the same purpose. However the reclassification of West Richey/Bourgeois as a major thoroughfare supports the function that it already performs. With so much new building that is happening in the area, as much undeveloped land as possible needs to be available to help prevent flooding. Greenwood Forest already has a major thoroughfare along the northern boundary and is sliced through the middle with another; it doesn't need one more to expose more residents to the pollution of noise, light, dust and petroleum products. No engineering study has been done to verify the need for another East/West main thoroughfare other than what has unofficially already become the main road used by all the locals.... West Richey/Bourgeois. If anything different is to be considered, something further south is needed from Bammel/ North Houston to Hollister.</p>	
E. Eugene Byrd, Jr.	F-11	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Erin Haugstad	F-11	No	<p>As a 19 year resident of the Greenwood Forest subdivision I am strongly against the proposed road project! In spite of being so close to FM 1960 our neighborhood has been very quiet and a good place to raise our children. Our kids ride bike with their friends throughout the neighborhood and enjoy playing with other children on numerous streets throughout our Greenwood Forest neighborhood. We do not need additional traffic through our well established neighborhood. The proposed project is too close to school crossing areas for all three schools in our neighborhood. Our boys are members of Boy Scout Troop 440 and the proposed road project would bring more traffic to the area around the scout hut and Kinsmen Lutheran church; this is unacceptable. In our 19 years in the neighborhood we have longed for a park to enjoy and now we have a beautiful peaceful park that is enjoyed by residents of all ages not to mention the attraction of wildlife for all to enjoy. We have enjoyed observing whistling tree duck mating pairs, great blue herons, great egrets, snowy egrets, great horned owls, woodpeckers, snapping turtles and much more on our frequent walks around our pond. There are regular groups of people that get their morning exercise by walking on the streets in our neighborhood and without sidewalks we rely upon our quiet streets; we do not need any more roads cutting through our neighborhood. Any disruption to this area will be a plight on the aesthetics of our mature neighborhood and will likely negatively effect the property values. There are NO benefits to this proposed project!</p>	
Linda Noyes	F-11	No	<p>I OPPOSE the F-11 amendments, but SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road as a major thoroughfares.</p> <p>I believe widening Bourgeois Road (to the south) and placing a traffic light at the three way stop(Bourgeois/Richey) would alleviate any congestion this area has.</p> <p>I travel south on Champion Forest to Bourgeois Road then Hollister on my daily commute.</p> <p>I have lived in Greenwood Forest for over 20 years an find it an escape from city life.I have an 1 hr to 1 1/2 hrs commute each way to work each day and cherish coming home at the end of the day to Greenwood Forest. I live on Lorino Woods Drive and have woods or green space behind my house. We have families of deer, several species of owls (which are mating), at least 2 types of woodpeckers (on the wildlife protected list, also mating) and numerous birds and wildlife.</p> <p>These proposals will destroy all that and take away a habitat and one of the few green spaces left in the area.</p> <p>Our water district #109 recently completed a retention pond and park(Hurst Park). The proposal would put a road through that park and would offer NO real purpose. This retention pond has helped with flooding issues during major rain events. A road though there would negate the benefits of the retention pond.</p> <p>Construction of the proposed roads would also negatively impact the values of the homes especially the ones located near these proposed roads.</p> <p>Thank you for listening to my concerns, Linda Noyes</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Yvonne E Nelson	F-11	No	<p>I am no expert about flooding and subsidence, etc.; however, I have heard that people who have homes in the southern part of Greenwood Forest Subdivision have had significantly less flooding since the "Hurst Park" detention pond was constructed, at the expense of the taxpayers -- and there has been a lot of water and flooding issues in the area near us in the past spring alone. We have had an inordinate amount of rain, and homeowners in Greenwood Forest have been helping those who have been affected by the flooding. We have done quite well, despite the rain. I do use the track for walking, and enjoy the little bit of greenspace that still exists in this area. I have heard about certain owls and woodpeckers and other wildlife that have their home there. I have witnessed birds (like white herons, etc.) flying around the area, and using the pond to swim. I don't believe there will be good use of a thoroughfare being built through the pond that was constructed just about two years ago. It seems like a waste of money, will cause flooding issues that have previously been addressed (and paid for by taxpayers), significantly affect our greenspace, and the habitat of wildlife there. Please consider other options. I can't imagine why we were not given more time to address this issue.</p>	
Janice L. Van Derbur	F-11	No	<p>I oppose the F11 Amendments and I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road as a major thoroughfare.</p> <p>I've lived in Greenwood Forest for 34 years, on Lorinowoods for 33 years. The proposed thoroughfare was originally going through our retention pond (Hurst Park) and then behind our fences. That park was built just a few years ago to alleviate our flooding -- we can't afford much more concrete with poor drainage anymore, like it is on Theall going to Cutten -- that road floods in a short rainfall. We are surrounded by warehouses now and have lost so much of the green space. The original proposed road would not have alleviated any traffic concerns. The additional roads and traffic through our subdivision would change our quality of life considerably: increased crime, loss of green space, tranquility, wildlife, and the values of the homes would go down considerably. This would impact over 2000 tax-paying residences. We chose to live in this area because of the green space and tranquility -- it's wonderful to see the deer, coyotes and other wildlife. We have several species of woodpeckers nesting, two of which are on the protected list, a pair of Great Horned owls nesting with an owlet behind our house, and many other varieties of birds. While I'm not fond of snakes, they do keep the rodent population down. It is sad to think that they will all be driven out completely. Why can't we have some consideration to keep our green space?</p> <p>As for traffic solutions, it would make more sense to widen Bourgeois Road designating it as a major thoroughfare, and adding a light there instead of a 3-way stop.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Matthew Zawacki	F-11	No	<p>To those that can affect the F-11 MTFP amendment:</p> <p>Thank you for your recent considerations and efforts to work with the communities on the F-11 MTFP amendment. I am a resident of Greenwood Forest and live just a few houses from the newly completed Hurst Park. I am thrilled with the park and love the green space that was given to our neighborhood which is otherwise without any public park. I understand that the original F-11 MTFP amendment proposal to build a road through Hurst Park which would sever this green space from our community is being altered to go around the park. While this is certainly a better solution, I believe adding a new road between Hollister and Champion Forest in any capacity is redundant and unnecessary. Until I see a traffic study that proves otherwise, I just cannot see the purpose of an additional road in the location north of Richey/Bourgeois and south of the Greenwood Forest subdivision. Richey and Bourgeois are certainly congested at times, but this is primarily because of the school, the stop sign that should be a stop light already, and the poor use of a left turn lane at the stop sign. Creating a new double dead-end road would not relieve this congestion because it would come from nowhere, pass nothing, and go to nowhere. It would not benefit the community or solve the congestion that already exists. I am strongly in favor of deleting the the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and instead designating Bourgeois Road as a major thoroughfare. I am passionately opposed to the F-11 proposal that has been put forth so far for consideration. Please hear my voice and reconsider the current plans for the F-11 amendment .</p> <p>Again, I'm very appreciative of your attention in this matter and I ask that whatever decision is made is done so because it is the best decision - the most practical for traffic, the best for Greenwood Forest, and the best for the nearby school.</p>	
Richard Jespersen	F-11		I strongly support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. Preservation of the prairie has many benefits, not the least of which is to absorb excess rainfall and mitigate flooding.	
Clifton Mitchell	F-11	No	I do support a 4 lane expansion from Cutten Road and Richey Road. I do NOT support a new road running perpendicular to Bourgeois Road and Bordering Mitchell Lane. Traffic lights would solve this issue with heavy traffic that occurs twice a day.	
Clifton Mitchell	F-11	No	I do support a 4 lane expansion from Cutten Road and Richey Road. I do NOT support a new road running perpendicular to Bourgeois Road and Bordering Mitchell Lane. Traffic lights would solve this issue with heavy traffic that occurs twice a day.	
Gail Stanart	F-11	No	I am against this road plan. I would agree with designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare and widening it to a 4 lane road from the 3-way stop sign to Cutten Road.	I am an interested resident
Donna Mitchell	F-11	No	I do support making Bourgeois Road a 4 lane road between Cutten Road and Richey Road. Do NOT support an expansion where you would build a new road running perpendicular to Bourgeois Road and bordering Mitchell Lane. Traffic lights will solve the issue with heavy traffic that occurs twice a day.	
Krista Brilmayer	F-11	No	I OPPOSE the F11 amendments. I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Greg G Dallen	F-11	No	I am a long time resident at 5915 Lorinowoods Drive, within 100 ft of this proposed project. I suggest the MTFP F11 amendment be rejected and the reverse S curve be completely removed from the MTFP planning map. It is not even a traffic flow solution. It will add three dangerous intersection on a north-south road with dead ends. The real traffic issues in this area are east-west thoroughfare flow. It would be far better to complete the few existing east-west projects. (IE: West Greens, Richey/ Bourgeois, Theal roads) Additionally, the negative effect on the Flood control retention pond. (that saved our subdivision from flooding with 11 + inches of rain in April) Not to mention the impact on the Hurst park, surrounding green space and overall quality of life for this community. Respectfully GGD	
Jon Gardner	F-11	Yes	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads.	
Angela Novacek	F-11	No	I still OPPOSE the F11 amendments; however, I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.	
Eamonn Mulchrone	F-11	No	<p>Further to my comments submitted via this portal on 6.25.16, I understand that during a meeting on Wednesday 6.29.16 Harris County Engineers agreed that the proposed F-11 road cutting through the property of Glorious Way Church, private land, and businesses, served little function.</p> <p>Harris County Engineering Department stated that they are willing to amend the proposal to delete the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and reclassify West Richey/ Bourgeois Road to a Major Thoroughfare. And, the city planner said that it was not too late to submit a change to the amendment.</p> <p>I continue to OPPOSE the proposed F-11 road</p> <p>I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment</p> <p>I SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare</p> <p>Sincerely, Eamonn Mulchrone 5931 Pinewilde Drive, Houston TX 77066</p> <p>(submitted on 6.30.16)</p>	
Carol Green	F-11	No	I do not agree with the Road behind Bourgeois Forest. But I would like to see bourgeois Rd widened to 4 lanes.	
Lilly DeHaven	F-11		I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Pradeep Sulhan	F-11	No	<p>OPPOSE to MTFP Case # F-11</p> <p>Sir, Me and my family live on Bourgeois Forest Drive , lot 46, since 1997. And I am opposing the amendments to put a roadway near the Bourgeois Forest neighborhood.</p> <p>However, I do support designating Bourgeois Road as a 4 lane thoroughfare between Richey and Cutten Road.</p> <p>Hope you shall remove the (church's) existing easement along with all of the remaining proposed roadway, including the "S" curve. Being the "second last" house, this will have a big impact as such construction will practically be in our backyard.</p> <p>Thank You.</p>	
Vanessa Glenn	F-11	No	<p>WE ARE ABSOLUTELY AGAINST EXPANDING OR BUILDING ANY ROAD ON THE GLORIOUS WAY CHURCH PROPERTY THAT WILL RUN ALONGSIDE THE BOURGEOIS FOREST SUBDIVISION! WE WOULD BE IN FAVOR IF INSTEAD YOU WANT TO WIDEN BOURGEOIS ROAD TO 4 LANES & PUT IN A TRAFFIC LIGHT AT THE Y-INTERSECTION.</p>	
Nancy J. Johnson	F-11	No	<p>I am amending the statement that I submitted on June 28, 2016. I am still opposing the original West Richey Rd. Weeds Rd and Bourgeois Road F 11 MTFP amendment request as originally proposed. The F 11 MTFP amendment had little to do with mobility.</p> <p>I do support the Harris County Engineering Departments willingness to amend the proposal to delete the ROW for the Weeds/Bourgeois Richey realignment and reclassifying West Richey/Bourgeois Road to a Major Thoroughfare.</p>	
Donna Oliver	F-11	No	<p>I OPPOSE the F11 amendments. However, I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.</p>	
Cheryl Lewis	F-11		<p>There are other ways to meet Texas' transportation needs without chopping up a part of the land that serves us well. I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. It is a rare jewel in this great land and deserves to be protected and preserved from harm.</p>	
William Pollak	F-11	No	<p>I do not believe this expansion is necessary, however if we do need additional capacity, it would seem to be far wiser and less intrusive on the surrounding communities to simply widen bourgeois than to intrude on a retention pond, especially in light of the fact that the recent floods have shown we probably need more flood control in Harris County, not less.</p>	
David Stivers	F-11	No	<p>I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.</p>	
Diane Arnold	F-11	Yes	<p>Please keep the Katy Prairie wild & pristine. We don't need roads or ring roads around it. Houston can be forward thinking & save an important resource.</p>	
Tom Haywood	F-11	No	<p>This would ruin a neighborhood park, which we sorely need as there is nothing similar in general vicinity. This amenity is important to the quality of life in our neighborhood - more so than what this road would afford us.</p>	
Pamela Marsh	F-11	No	<p>Leave the Katy Prairie alone.</p>	
Michael J. Yanochik	F-11	No	<p>I just submitted a comment on behalf of Vonnie and Guadalupe Harris, but the area code on the phone number I submitted was incorrect. My correct phone number is 713-966-6142.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Michael J. Yanochik	F-11	No	I am an attorney representing Vonnie and Guadalupe Harris, who own the tract at 8857 E. Mt. Houston Rd., Houston 77050. They oppose any attempt to widen "Mesa Road" north of E. Mt. Houston Rd. There is pending litigation regarding the recent construction of that road: Vonnie Harris II et al. v. Cherry Crushed Concrete, Inc., Cause No. 2016-33139, n the 157th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas. Much of the HARRISES' property has been damaged or destroyed by this construction, and their house now floods due to changes in their property's natural drainage. Any changes to the MTFP that affect the segment of "Mesa Road" just north of E. Mt. Houston Road should be delayed until the pending litigation has been resolved, or at least until the HARRISES' property can be protected against further damage.	
Elaine Ricci	F-11	No	I oppose further roads through the Katy Prairie. As evidenced by the April 18, 2016 flood (which put our downstairs under about 8" of water), construction on the prairie affects those of us to the east. Also, my husband and I are frequent birders on the Katy Prairie and, as such, enjoy the backroads as they are (as do the birds).	
pat gorman	F-11	No	It is not possible to maintain the prairie when roads and vehicles run thru it. There is too much concrete and asphalt in the area now, creating flooding problems.	
Jerri Conrado & Stephen Watts	F-11	No	As residents of Greenwood Forest we are deeply concerned that the connector project which is currently proposed. it would not offer much of the desired benefit since there is a little or no need for anyone to bypass Theall in order to get to Hollister. Conversely the consequences include the following: 1). The retention pond which is currently vital to this neighborhood due to the fact it prevents many of our homes from flooding would be greatly compromised. The proposed solution of making the pond deeper would not provide as much drainage access therefore creating more flood potential. 2). The park which was recently built at taxpayers expense would also be greatly compromised if not completely rendered unusable. We do not support this project and consider it a bad decision by the City of Houston.	I am an interested resident
Judy Cupps	F-11	No	I walk the detention pond all the time and simply love the fresh air, seeing turtles, egrets, blue heron, hawks, vultures. It would be such a shame to destroy it all after our water district went to such pains and expense to develop this Hurst Park. It's a place for families to share the outdoors and residents to walk their dogs. It also saves overflowing in our subdivision of Greenwood. Please reconsider another alternative. Thank you!	
Maureen Lankford	F-11	No	I have previously submitted my opposition to the original and first amended F-11 project and my opposition still stands on those items. However, I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.	
Loren allardyce	F-11		Keep roads off Katy Prairie!!	
Rebecca Rex	F-11	No	I OPPOSE the realignment of the proposed Weeds Rd/Richey Rd/Bourgeois Rd. I SUPPORT deleting the right of ways for the future Weeds/Richey/Bourgeois realignment. I SUPPORT the reclassification of Bourgeois Road to a Major Thoroughfare. This will work better for the community served by these roadways. These changes will also help to protect the value of our homes and quality of life. Mobility in this area would be improved by completing Greens Road where it currently dead ends into Hollister. This completion would help to move East/West traffic into a more industrial area and away from residential. Sincerely, Rebecca Rex	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Leslie Nossaman	F-11	No	This preserve can eventually be made into a park with bison, horned lizards, Attwater Prairie Chicken and the Houston Toad. This is part of the plan for this area. This is a great place to reintroduce these animals which have been removed by humans from this location. This would be a park for families to visit and may eventually be a state park. And a big money maker. I'm sure people would come a long way to see the natural prairie as it was before humans. If I were in charge I would make this area larger, connect it with the Attwater Prairie Chicken Refuge, add all these animals, and turn it into a state park. Only if someone had some vision to keep it wild and not put roads around it, through it, or pave it to put up a parking lot.	
James Buntrock	F-11	No	I am an administrator and associate pastor for Glorious Way Church. Glorious Way Church Opposes F-11 amendments as originally submitted. However we SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and we SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare running east/west between Hollister Rd and Champion Forest Drive.	
Deborah Mueller	F-11	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. For quality of life for us who live here now, and for those who will live here in the future, we need to preserve the Katy Prairie and allow for future preservation of contiguous lands.	
Mary Anne Piacentini, E. D., Katy Prairie Conservancy	F-11	No	<p>I write to support and oppose requested amendments by Harris County to the City of Houston's Major Freeway and Thoroughfare Plan (MFTP).</p> <p>Traffic Calmin</p> <p>Katy Prairie Conservancy opposes any designated major thoroughfare through its preserves and requests instead that any proposed roadway remaining after the deletion of multiple roads be downgraded to a minor collector to ensure slow speeds and minimal right-of-way requirements. Current traffic counts as well as traffic counts from Harris County's Draft Study support this downgrade request. For example, the 2011 24-hour traffic count on Sharp Road within our preserves collected a total of 10 cars in comparison to the 3000 to 5600 cars found approximately 3 miles south along FM 529 during the same time period. This example showcases how the placement of select roads, like FM 529, can provide the "thoroughfare" and "speed" needs for commuters without forcing those cars through our protected lands.</p> <p>Park Roads Should Be Separately Classified</p> <p>Katy Prairie Conservancy asks that the Planning Commission, in connection with its decision regarding Harris County's amendment requests to delete multiple roads, examine whether a new more appropriate classification can be adopted for park roads in line with the National Park Services Park Roads standards adopted in 1984. As stipulated for our national parks:</p> <p>The purpose of park roads remains in sharp contrast to that of the Federal and State Highway systems. Park roads are not intended to provide fast and convenient transportation; they are intended to enhance visitor experience while providing safe and efficient accommodations of park visitors and to serve essential management access needs. They are not, therefore, intended nor designed as continuations of the State and Federal highway network. Nor should they be designed or designated to serve as connecting links to those systems. And within parks, no road or other circulation system should be planned or designed merely as a device to link points of interest.</p> <p>By recognizing that protected lands—whether they be our conservation easements, John Paul's Landing Park, or Addicks Reservoir—are designed to enhance the community in other ways than a planned roadway system, the Planning</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Juanita Jackson	F-11	No	I live in the Champion Crossing Community , I am total against the road Proposal that will lower our property value. Our homes would flood because of the road closing in around the community. I am a HOA board member and Champion Crossing has contribute from our community funds to clean and maintain the lawn of county property surround the community. The proposal would cause hardship on many homeowners , forcing them to sell properties. Crime rate would increase, noise as well as pollution and traffic would increase not decrease. The surrounding communities would all be affected by this proposal some way or another.	I am an interested resident
Alison Tyler	F-11	No	We need to stop allowing roads to cut through or encircle our conserved lands. It is of dire necessity that we recognize the ecological, economic, health, and aesthetic benefits we provide for our region. Not only that, but building roads through or encircling our protected lands would result in loss of critical wetland and thereby increase the amount and extent of devastating floods.	
Linda Davidson	F-11	No	I am a residence in the Champion Crossing Subdivision. I am also President of the HOA of Champion Crossing Community. I am total against the road being brought through our community. This proposal would cause many issues for the Champion Crossing Community. Homes would be flooded , property value would go down. Crimes rate would increase, high traffic as well as loud. As a board member, we have pay out of community funds to maintain around the community as well as county roads by cleaning as well as mowing. I feel we as home owners are not going to benefit from this proposal. We meaning Green wood Forest, Bourgeois and Champion Crossing communities are already surround with congestion. Thank you	
Kristin Cobb	F-11		I OPPOSE the F11 amendments; I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road, a major thoroughfare. City planners, I support the deletion of the right of ways.	
Ronaldn A. Keehner	F-11	No	<p>I have been a resident of Greenwood Forest for over 40 years. In all that time I have not witnessed a traffic problem in the area covered by proposal F-1.</p> <p>There is no evidence of traffic studies or other objective studies supporting the need for these amendment requests. Why are we spending our time and money on this project? Sounds to me like this is a project fostered by special interests for their personal benefit.</p> <p>I am opposed to F-11 in total. There is no need to acquire resident's property and disrupt the life of a subdivision to install a roadway that is not needed.</p> <p>If we are forced to accept some sort of change, the MOST I could SUPPORT would be the deletion of the right of way for the Weeds/Richey realignment and designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.</p> <p>My recommendation is the whole F-11 project be cancelled.</p>	
Patty DeRosa	F-11	No	However I support deletion of the right away for Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignments and support designating Bourgeois Rd. a major Thoroughfare	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
VALERIE & JESSE DUNCAN	F-11	No	<p>To Whom It May Concern:</p> <p>My husband and I are "AGAINST" adding a new road: north of Bourgeois Rd, along the west side of the retention pond to meet with another road thru the wooded area east of the pond from Champion Forest Dr.</p> <p>The area is a nature lover, walker/runner doggie park lover dream.</p> <p>"Come", experience nature in one of the now most rarest environments within the Beltway and the 1960 area.</p> <p>Early morning sunrise, Evening sunset experiences, a Star Gazer dream.</p> <p>Natures early morning smells of some of the most fantastic wildflowers, trees w/moss, wild grape vines, a Birdwatchers dream with AMAZING sounds, Hawks, Owls, Cranes, Wild Ducks, Turtles, Fish Jumping in the pond, Deers and rarely seen in this area-Coyotes.</p> <p>It's as if we have one of rariest books know to man during this day and time.</p> <p>By the way your able to take a book, headset and drift away. It's truly a PURE/GODLY gift worth saving.</p> <p>Thank You!</p>	I am an interested resident
John L Busby	F-11	No	No more flooding. Ignoring environmental issues is sure to cause economic issues far worse.	
Georgina Schwartz	F-11	No	Please do not put any roads through the Katy Prairie preserve. Thank you	
Craig B	F-11	No	The KPC is a historic land mark to Houston and Texas. It provides numerous free eco system services including flood control that will be damaged if a road goes through. No to building a rode through the KPC.	
Becky Houston	F-11	No	Please protect the Katy Prairie Conservancy land and ecology by restricting traffic and development from around that area. Houston needs all the greenspace the city can get to mitigate flooding, and Houstonians need all the greenspace we can get to improve the quality of our lives, our imaginations and our health. Thank you for considering the intangible benefits when evaluating the costs and benefits of further development in that area. Thank you!	
Patsy McLendon	F-11	No	I support deletion of right of way for/Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey. Support designation of Bourgeois Rd as major thoroughfare.	
Mark E. Hall	F-11	No	I am a resident on Bourgeois Forest Drive #11934. I have been involved in this matter since I heard about it a month ago. No letter was sent to me about this change. 39 of the 48 residents on this street strongly oppose this road. More would have also opposed, however, they were away for their homes and could not be reached. This road is not the answer to traffic problems. The simple and best solution would be to make Bourgeois Forest Rd into a 4 (four) lane road and install a stop light by the Klein school. this is a no brain idea and I am quiet surprised it hasn't been planned. Thank you for letting me comment on this matter.	
Janene Thomas	F-11	No	I am against more roadways and for the prairie lands. These lands are prestine and clear of garbage and roadside trash. Our earth depends on prairies and conservation areas like these in order to feed the bees, butterflies and bumblebees that are disappearing before our eyes. It is a beautiful piece of property and if you haven't experienced it first hand, you should.	
Barry Rosendale	F-11	No	11.West Richey Road/Weeds Road/Bourgeois Road. Please don't take away the Greenwood Forest park that was just built 2 years ago. It is widely used and enjoyed by the residents.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Jay Westfall	F-11	No	I support keeping the Katy Prairie Preserve System wild and untouched by pass-through or ring roads. We need this reserve to EXPAND, so flooding can be tamped down.	
Kenneth Latimer	F-11	No	My wife and I are 38-year residents of Greenwood Forest. We were recently and belatedly informed of your plan to build a major thoroughfare across neighboring subdivisions, a recently completed retention pond and park at the rear of our neighborhood, KISD property and ending at the tree lined and beautiful boulevard that divides our subdivision, Champion Forest Drive. A brief but careful review of this plan and listening to a Mr. Smith from Harris County road engineers fails to show me any positives from this plan. It appears to move a perceived traffic problem from one location and cause a real traffic problem in several areas to include where it dead ends in our subdivision. In addition to adding to already increasing traffic issues it will undoubtedly increase noise, flooding issues, destruction of dwindling greenspace, safety and security issues and serve no useful purpose to anyone in this area. It will dramatically increase traffic in an area currently housing elementary, middle school and high schools in which many walk to and from these schools. It will destroy a recently completed park and retention pond, totally wasting spend taxpayer dollars. In the interest of all, this plan should be scrapped and future plans should openly include input from involved subdivisions and residents. I OPPOSE the F11 amendments as proposed. I SUPPORT the deletion of the ROW's for the WEEDS/BOURGEOUS/RICHEY REALIGNMENT and SUPPORT designating BOURGEOUS ROAD a major thoroughfare. Kenneth Latimer 5106 Dana Leigh Dr. Houston, TX 77066	
Gary DeRosa	F-11	No	Please do not allow the right away this will not help at all. However updating Bourgeois Rd may be the option..Please don't destroy our neighborhood and surrounding communities	
Terrie Vernon	F-11	No	I stand OPPOSED to F-11 amendments. I do SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare. Thanks to Commissioner Cagle's office for your support and assistance. We also appreciate the focus and attention given from Harris County Engineering.	
Conley Smith	F-11	No	As a long-time resident of Greenwood Forest subdivision, it is upsetting that anyone would think putting a road through a new MUD district park would be a good idea. There is no need for this road. The addition of Hollister is working just fine. We do not need additional cut-through.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Jerad Macmanus	F-11	No	<p>I am writing to OPPOSE the F11 amendments. I SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare. I am specifically concerned about the proposal for the addition of the major connector (MJ-4-80) between Hollister Road and Champion Forest Drive. The current map included with the proposal shows the proposed major connector going just to the south of Greenwood Forest neighborhood and through the newly built Hurst Park. I have been a resident of Greenwood Forest neighborhood for 4 years.</p> <p>Hurst Park was constructed by WCID 109 approximately 2 years ago. I am not sure as to the cost incurred to taxpayers with the construction of Hurst Park. The park includes a large retention pond with lit fountain and paved walkway around the pond with lighting and park benches. Hurst Park has become Greenwood Forest's primary greenspace. The park is a valuable asset to the community and many residents use the park daily.</p> <p>If the proposed road goes through Hurst Park it would destroy the community's green space and possibly cause drainage problems in Greenwood Forest due to changes and reduction in the flood retention capacity. Greenwood Forest incurred minimal damage in the neighborhood during the recent flood in April. The retention pond at Hurst Park possibly played a major role in keeping the flood waters from entering and destroying homes in the community.</p> <p>I am asking that the city would not approve any proposal that would demolish the newly built Hurst Park that is such an asset to Greenwood Forest. I believe that we can save the green space and flood retention capacity and increase mobility to the area with alternate plans. Thank you for your time and consideration of this very important decision that will have a significant impact on Greenwood Forest.</p>	
Sue Ann Lurcott	F-11	No	<p>I oppose the proposed plan because it does not alleviate any traffic congestion and it destroys a beautiful park and wildlife habitat.</p> <p>I do support the recent plan to delete the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/ Richey realignment and support designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.</p>	
Jo Latimer	F-11	No	<p>I am a long-time resident of Greenwood Forest and have recently been made aware of the proposed plan to add a major thoroughfare over the existing retention pond bordering our subdivision and near existing homes. I am opposed to this proposal and question why this is being considered. I see nothing of benefit in this plan but see many drawbacks. I ask that you go back to the drawing board, eliminate this proposed plan and seek input from those affected before proposing a new plan. In summary -- (1) I am OPPOSED to the F11 amendment. (2) I SUPPORT the deletion of the right-of-ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment. (3) I SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.</p>	I am an interested resident
Elmer and Julia Johnson	F-11	No	<p>As residents of the Greenwood Forest subdivision, (since 1981), my wife and I are strongly opposed to proposed amendments to the Major Thorough-fare and Freeway Plan MTFP Case Number 11.</p> <p>We wish to preserve the serenity of our neighborhood and the protection that our retention pond provides us against flooding in our area.</p>	I am an interested resident
Laura Vandercook	F-11	No	<p>Hurst park has become a wonderful asset to my family. My 7 year old son enjoys fishing there, and we enjoy encouraging all of our children to be well-rounded and enjoy the outdoors. Hurst park is the only location near our subdivision of Greenwood Forest where children can enjoy the outdoors in this way. We have seen bunnies and caught large bass. We have gone on countless walks, and our whole community would be hurt by the removal of this park.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Joan and Bill Jaco	F-11	No	<p>We are against this proposal as we moved to Greenwood in 1990 and as a resident of a subdivision of over 1500 homes, this proposal will negatively affect noise, safety, amount of trucks and transportation through our neighborhoods as well as the quality of life in Greenwood Forest and the surrounding areas. The proposed idea of building a road cutting through the detention pond and park in our neighborhood would be most detrimental to us, both in terms of flooding and the amount of individuals, including myself that are using the park and its facilities including the park benches. Widening the road would also not help the flooding or the nuisance of having unwanted traffic directed through our area. We moved here to be outside the city limits and already have ample roads connecting this area to major freeways. The proposal you have provided would erode the quality of our neighborhood and our housing values and the amenities we are now able to enjoy. Please do not build this thoroughfare route through our neighborhood.</p>	
Ronald A. Keehner	F-11	No	<p>The F11 proposal is not acceptable.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. I question this construction is required at all. b. Dumps too much traffic into a residential area./ c. Directs too much water into the Greenwood Forest subdivision. We have enough water problems now. d. Disrupts Greenwood Forest water catch basin and walk path, thereby degrading the subdivision. e. Safety hazard. Proposed route adds to traffic congestion at major school intersection on Champions Forest drive. f. Interferes with too much private property. g. Reduces Greenwood Forest property values. <p>If roadway adjustment is absolutely required I can reluctantly accept the proposed F11 amended application which removes east-west road from the application, downgrades/realign Weeds to minor collector and designates Bourgeois a major thoroughfare to Hollister.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Debra Ford	F-11	No	<p>years, I respectfully implore the City of Houston Planning Commission to reconsider its F11 major thoroughfare plans for 3 reasons. These plans do not seem to have any positive impact on area mobility; the proposed roads will negatively impact property value, quality of life and greenspace in this community and they would be an enormous waste of tax payer dollars spent by our Water District #109 in the construction of a retention pond to decrease area flooding.</p> <p>When I bought my home on Pinewood Springs in 1996 I worked in downtown Houston. I bought this home for its wooded neighborhoods and surrounding forest areas. I was willing to make a daily 3-hour commute for 15 years to enjoy a neighborhood rich with wildlife, trees and greenspace. Had a major thoroughfare been located one block from my home, I would have never purchased it. What is being proposed in the F11 plans will have a negative impact on the value of all of the homes in this neighborhood, as well as for our neighbors in Bourgeois Forest which are nestled in an idyllic wooded setting. Weâ€™ve already seen entirely too much area surrounding Greenwood Forest developed into metal warehouse buildings, decimating acres of trees and wildlife. How pitiful and heartbreaking it is to watch coyotes and deer fend for themselves as the land on which they have lived, for decades, slowly disappears. F11 is more of the same.</p> <p>Houston is regularly criticized for its lack of greenspace. Finally, city planners are correcting this with parks like Discovery Green; Hermann Park and other new greenspaces. Why should our neighborhood not be given the same consideration?</p> <p>It makes no sense to develop a road right through Hurst Parkâ€™the retention pond created to abate flooding in our neighborhood. The retention pond has protected Greenwood Forest from severe flooding this year. An added benefit of this pond is that it has now become a neighborhood parkâ€™an early morning ritual for me and a place where neighbors converge to walk dogs; enjoy nature and wildlife and build a sense of community.</p> <p>Plowing straight through this area with a 4-lane road to connect Hollister to Champions Forest is not about mobility. Hollister is never busy and I drive it at all hours of the day. This road would literally be the Greenwood Forest road to nowhere dead-ending into Champions Forest. For what reason? Certainly not area mobility. We already have access to Hollister via Theall. Thatâ€™s the road that should be widened since it has been developed into so many metal warehouse buildings.</p>	
Anne Robison	F-11	No	<p>This has been handled very badly,it is not only a ridiculous route but very few people even heard about it even though it affects several neighborhoods in the area.Please look at this again with clearer vision.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Oakland Husband	F-11	No	<p>I am writing concerning the 2016 Major Thoroughfare and Freeway Plan Recommendation: F11: West Richey Road, Weeds Road and Bourgeois Road proposal. I am specifically concerned about the proposal for the addition of the major connector (MJ-4-80) between Hollister Road and Champion Forest Drive. The current map included with the proposal shows the proposed major connector going just to the south of Greenwood Forest neighborhood and through the newly built Hurst Park.</p> <p>Hurst Park was constructed by WCID 109 approximately 2 years ago. I am not sure as to the cost incurred to taxpayers with the construction of Hurst Park. The park includes a large retention pond with lit fountain and paved walkway around the pond with lighting and park benches. Hurst Park has become Greenwood Forest's primary greenspace. The park is a valuable asset to the community and many residents use the park daily.</p> <p>If the proposed road goes through Hurst Park it would destroy the community's green space and possibly cause drainage problems in Greenwood Forest due to changes and reduction in the flood retention capacity. Greenwood Forest incurred minimal damage in the neighborhood during the recent flood in April. The retention pond at Hurst Park possibly played a major role in keeping the flood waters from entering and destroying homes in the community.</p> <p>I am asking that the county would not demolish the newly built Hurst Park that is such an asset to Greenwood Forest. I believe that we can save the green space and flood retention capacity and increase mobility to the area with alternate plans. Thank you for your time and consideration of this very important decision that will have a significant impact on Greenwood Forest.</p>	
Gail Stanart	F-11	No	<p>I am against this plan. There is NO need for these plans other than to benefit a developer. It would devastate the ambiance of our 1 street neighborhood and the values of our homes. I don't want a 4-lane street at the end of our cul-de-sac destroying the beautiful trees. I don't want a 4-lane street behind the houses across the street from me also destroying beautiful trees. Finish widening Bourgeois Road to help traffic congestion. That's all we need or want.</p>	
Rosa Rios	F-11	No	<p>No more traffic behind Our subdivision</p>	
Oscar Rios	F-11	No	<p>Not happy about cut more trees down to runing more traffic close to subdivision</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Frank Reed	F-11	No	<p>5323 Pebbles Springs Drive Houston, TX 77066 fkenreed@gmail.com 504-289-2647</p> <p>June 29, 2016</p> <p>Subject: Major Thoroughfare and Freeway Plan F-11: West Richey Raod, Weeds Road, and Bourgeois Road</p> <p>To Whom It May Concern,</p> <p>This letter is in regard to the F-11 project recommendation for the expansion of the major Thoroughfare and Freeway Plan that would impact the Greenwood Forest subdivision in which I reside, as well as two other adjacent subdivisions. After attending a meeting held at the Greenwood Forest Clubhouse on June 22, 2016, it was clear to me that as few as 40 residents between the (3) three subdivisions were notified of the proposed changes that have been suggested that would impact over 2,000 plus tax paying residence. It was also very clear to me that by adding the proposed thoroughfares it would not help with traffic flow or congestion, but the complete opposite it would create more congestion for all the residents that currently live in the area. The additional traffic and roads would only serve to increase avenues that would promote safety issues, potential increase in crime due to increased accessibility to the area, more flooding, more difficulty in maintaining the beauty of the our area, loss of green space, and last but not lease a project that will yield nothing of value to the residence in the area.</p> <p>We the residence of Greenwood Forest and the other communities are very proud of the place we call home and have chosen to live in this area for many reasons. For me, the peacefulness, security, small quite friendly neighborhood that seems to get lost in most major cities. This area has somehow managed to escape the trappings of the inner city with the over development of roads and thoroughfare that are created not for the community convenience but the those who make decisions on behalf of a community without input from said community. If the question before us revolve around traffic flow, ease of congestions and accessibility; then other options should be viewed for possible relief for that issues. For example,</p>	
Patsy McLendon	F-11	No	This would be bad for Greenwood Forest and other neighborhoods around here. Property values would be affected as well as more traffic and noise. Please reconsider.	
Krista Brilmayer	F-11	No	The proposed extension of Greens Road will cut through an existing detention pond and park. The park will no longer exist and the pond capacity will be decreased. This pond is needed to prevent flooding on Lorinowoods, Indian Wells, Pinewood Springs, and Sleepy Pines. During Tropical Storm Allison, before the detention pond existed, many homes on these streets flooded. The detention ponds prevented homes from flooding during the recent Tax day flood. The detention pond must be maintained. It's a waste of tax payer money to destroy a park that is less than five years old.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Robert W. Stewart	F-11	No	<p>neighbor was a horse stables across the street, a Horse Vet on the northeast corner and a couple of "Water District" house where Cutten Green is today.</p> <p>There was a road installed, onto the property, when my parents moved into their house in January 1979. My wife and I, with our five-year-old son, moved into our house in April, of the same year.</p> <p>Bourgeois Road only went from Cutten Road to Bammel-N. Houston and consisted of several "S" curves. Champion Forest Dr. was a "Dead-End" at the southern end of Greenwood Forest.</p> <p>Where Hollister is today used to be 80 acres of dense forest that contained a wealth of wildlife, where our son road his "Four Wheeler", in the evenings I fished in a small pond behind our house.</p> <p>Since Hollister was build we have had a "DEA" shootout with an 18-wheeler behind us and there is a continuous, all day long, barrage of SIRENES, from Police, Ambulance's and Fire Trucks.</p> <p>Our quality of life has diminished 100 percent since Hollister was installed and I see it only getting worse if these other roads wrap around the other two sides of our unique family friendly enclave.</p> <p>There are very few housing areas in North Harris County with LARGE lots and you are going to KILL our little sanctuary with these additional roads that are totally unnecessary and will have absolutely no effect on the growing traffic situation on Bourgeois Road, where at times, it is difficult just getting in or out.</p> <p>Our property values have held up pretty good over the years, but our houses will become "worthless" if this new road system, with all its noise, is installed.</p> <p>BOB and LOUISE STEWART</p>	
Brian Laing	F-11	No	<p>West Richie road route behind Bourgeois Forest Dr. Would create significant risk to the neighborhood, reduce the quality of life and decrease the value of our property. The design of he road would create high crash opportunities due to tight curves and poor visibility to oncoming traffic. Several other options would be much safer and have less negative impact on other residence.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Nancy Jean Johnson	F-11	No	<p>As a 43 year resident of Greenwood Forest subdivision, I am disappointed we, (Greenwood Forest homeowners), were not notified about the proposal F 11 made the by Harris County Engineering Department. It was only by chance Greenwood Forest residents became aware of the F 11 MTFP plan. The initial proposal will cut in half and destroy the retention pond and lighted walking path built by WCID No 109. I am definitely against this east - west road noted as an extension of Weeds Road connecting Hollister with Champion Forest Blvd that goes directly behind the houses of Greenwood Forest section 6. The east - west road should be totally removed from the application.</p> <p>I'm also concerned about the suggested road the curves from Bourgeois to the proposed extension of Weeds road. This road also affects the WCID No 109 retention pond. This proposed path would negatively impact the pond/park area with noise and probably trash due to the street bordering the area. It would also increase the noise along the southwest side of the neighborhood. The realignment of Weeds Road from Hollister to Bourgeois should be downgraded to a Minor Collector. I am a volunteer at Klenk Elementary School which faces Bourgeois and the current two lanes with ditches needs to be upgraded to four lanes if nothing else for the safety of the children, because currently the traffic is very unpredictable. Bourgeois should be designated as a Major Thoroughfare to Hollister.</p>	
David Villarreal	F-11	No	This will create too much noise just like when Hollister road was open, and there will be more traffic passing thru our area and increase in crime	
Angela Novacek	F-11	No	<p>Hello,</p> <p>This note is regarding the MTFP F-11 proposal. I am a resident who lives in Greenwood Forest, and I do agree with the current proposal or recent amendment made. I have strong concerns about flooding in our neighborhood, specifically the area of Lorinowoods, Indian Wells and Sleepy Pines. I do not want to see Hurst Park be tampered with, as it is an area that many residents, myself and children included, enjoy and appreciate for the nature and safe walking area it provides. I believe there is also a species of woodpecker that inhabits the park area that was mentioned as being possibly endangered and needs protection. I request that there be consideration for the above mentioned needs of our community and suggest to drop this project plan altogether. Thank you for your time. -Angela and Michael Novacek and children</p>	
David Stivers	F-11	No	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Original plan has better traffic flow due to curve at West end of Richey. 2) Original plan could have Richey curve nicely onto East end of Greens. 3) Original plan only required construction of one new road. 4) The proposed new plan only benefits the Glorious Way Church, but harms Klein Forest FFA, Greenwood Forest, and future traffic flow from Richey to Greens. 5) The proposed new plan requires construction of two new roads. Traffic from Richey to Greens would have to make two 90 degree turns. 	
William Scriber	F-11	No	<p>A north / south road is not needed and this attempt seems completely ill planned and not thought through. Why would a professional traffic planner place an intersection adjacent to Klenk Elementary effectively drawing more traffic to the school grounds where many small children attend. Both Hollister and Champion Forest Drive provide ample north/south options and draw traffic away from Klenk Elementary, think safety for the small children, keep north/south traffic on existing 4 lane highways. William Scriber, 11834 Bourgeois Forest, Lot #30</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
SHERN SEN	F-11	No	<p>Concerned Resident of Bourgeois Forest,</p> <p>Thirteen years ago my family moved into the Bourgeois community, which was at the time a quiet and peaceful place to live and raise a family. Since then many of my neighbors have moved due to the construction of Hollister road and other dangers that have been introduced to the area because of that road.</p> <p>After the construction of Hollister road that run along the back of my home, my family and neighbors have been robbed of our safety and it has continuously greeted us with danger. The resulting consequence of that road construction gave way to a shooting that left my children and I ducking for cover while playing in the backyard that day. At the time of the incident my children was 3 and 6 years of age and had nightmares for a very long time about the shooting. Years later some homes still exhibit damages from the events of that shooting.</p> <p>My point is WE DO NOT need another dangerous road! Another road that will leave the Bourgeois forest community in the middle of HELL. Your plan to build another road will increase and introduce new crimes like Hollister road did to our peaceful community. This new road will continue to rob us of our RIGHT to privacy, increase noise and traffic from street racers like Hollister, and increase pollution to a city that is already suffering from the consequences of us destroying the planet.</p> <p>DO NOT destroy the Bourgeois community any further to meet driving needs. Creating new roads will not fix the traffic. If you understand the fundamental law of road construction, your experience will show that new roads creates new drivers and increase human travels, resulting in the intensity of traffic staying the same and perhaps get worse. There are other alternatives like creatively expanding (make larger) on existing roads that will allow better traffic flow and use of better traffic lights to supplement traffic movements.</p>	
warren frisbee	F-11	No	<p>plan does not consider the impact on homeowners in the greenforest subdivision and surrounding areas. this is not a well conceived plan resulting in the disruption of peaceful family oriented communities in favor of other corporate/church interests. plan results in traffic backups and delays in local mobility. lets let the little guys win!!!!!!</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Jennifer Stewart	F-11	No	<p>1. Safety and concerns for children and school traffic. Adding a major thoroughfare to reach Champions Forest impacts the amount of drivers on Champions Forest. The children that live in Greenwood Forest and the endcap apartment complexes are not afforded the opportunity to use buses. Many of the children walk and ride their bikes. We only have 2 crossing guards, one of which is at a stop sign. Increasing traffic on Champions Forest increases the chances for child abduction and accidents to children. Morning and afternoon commuters will be attempting to avoid 1960 and Champions Forest is a posted 30 mph in which cars go an average of 45. My 3 boys have to cross Champions Forest in order to get to school.</p> <p>2. The road Spanish Oak Dr. has always flooded with major rains and this has drastically decreased with the building of the WCID pond; construction on this area and admittedly less retention (in the plan) will once again put us with frequent flooding.</p> <p>3. The construction of this road at the back of a well established (40+years)neighborhood negatively impacts property values. GWF attracts residents who are looking for established neighborhoods with mature trees and no construction; this road will negatively impact our property values that we are already fighting for with the 1960 urban crawl towards 249. We're drastically trying to preserve the family lifestyle of this neighborhood.</p> <p>3. The WCID gift of the walking track is by far the most used amenity that GWF has and this construction will eliminate that completely. This track appeals to the young families and older residents; these are primary amenities being offered in new construction neighborhoods and this road would eliminate GWF appeal to home buyers.</p> <p>4. The entire construction of this road will negatively impact struggling wildlife. The GWF pond receives many migratory birds and other wildlife. I have seen two dead deer on roadways within the last year. One on Champions Forest north of 1960 and another at the intersection by Glorious Way Church. North of our neighborhood is a protected bird sanctuary; many protected birds are in this area.</p> <p>5. There is no traffic need for this road to be built. The funds to build this road should be used to fix current road conditions at Bourgeois Rd. and the elementary school. A traffic study will show that there is not a need for this road or another major thoroughfare East-West.</p>	I am an interested resident

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Don Hutson	F-11	No	<p>proposed MTPF plan. Based on the propose map any possible benefits to the community (not a individual entity) are greatly outweighed by the negative impact the road would have on the population of the whole. The road would allow traffic to transit from Cutten to Champions Forrest. While on paper it appears a good thing any traffic coming from the west would end at Champions Forrest Dr. This road is primarily for traffic entering and leaving the Subdivision. Since the road stops there, it would appear that there would appear to be no significant advantage of using the new thoroughfare. That alone should be reason enough to dismiss the project. There would not be a substantial amount to traffic to move (the only purpose of such a road).</p> <p>The negative impact is substantial. The road would adversely effect a large population of home owners that enjoy the peace and quiet of the neighborhood. For several homeowners like those in Bourgeois Forrest property values will be negatively impacted and as individuals that impact could be catastrophic.</p> <p>For those that live in Champions Forrest there is also a negative impact. The neighborhood is already being impacted by the downturn in the economy. Several homes are for sale. The only redeeming quality for those remaining is the peaceful quality of life. Our tax dollars recently paid for a detention pond as well as an area for residents to jog walk our dogs and take our kids fishing. One proposal has the road cutting through the pond virtually eliminating any use of the park area. The area is peaceful and we often see wildlife on our walks. The area also provides an area for residents to meet and become better friends, a beneficial element to becoming a better community and helping each other along the way. Several residents of the area are elderly and this walking trail adds to their quality of life as well as their longevity.</p> <p>I am well aware that there are residential areas that back up to thoroughfares. However, in most cases those people chose to build there or live there. We chose not to do that and bought a home in the Champions Forest subdivision.</p> <p>Finally, has anyone performed an environmental impact study and assessment for the proposed route? If so is that study available for public review. Has there been an wildlife impact study as well? And, is that study available as well.</p> <p>Based on these objections I would respectfully request that this project be dismissed.</p>	
Wendy Hall	F-11	No	<p>WHY ?? This is my first question! Why would you propose a road of this nature, it makes NO sense. WHY put our beautiful homes on Bourgeois Forest in jeopardy, there are many roads close by and there is no need for this one! WHY build a beautiful retention pond and then build a road so close to it! WHY put a road so close to our fence lines, this would not only cause a terrible noise issue but would also present a very dangerous situation should a car swerve off the road, it would come right into our yard!! WHY increase theft problems. There is not one valid reason that has been put to us. WHY did we not ALL receive notification of this proposal. DON'T sweep us under the carpet, we deserve to keep our beautiful and quiet neighborhood, one side has already been taken care of with Hollister! WHY try to decrease the value of our beautiful homes on Bourgeois Forest (not to mention the two other surrounding sub divisions) WHY Endanger the lives of the many beautiful and wild animals that live along our fence lines, they don't deserve it and neither do we. I urge you to consider the many and valid points from myself and our surrounding neighbors and neighborhoods!! THIS ROAD IS NOT NEEDED!!</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
William Bains	F-11	No	<p>The proposed road project makes no sense! The road winds and is not a good solution. Bourgeois Road is a much more direct and beneficial road to expand. Building this road will adversely effect the surrounding communities. There is currently green-space and noise buffering provided, this would disappear. The air quality will be compromised and be harmful to residents with compromised breathing.</p> <p>The proposed path would also have a tremendous ecological effect.</p> <p>There is a tree in the path of this proposed road (live oak) which is the largest tree in Harris County! It should not be compromised and harmed.</p> <p>Property values in Bourgeois Forest Subdivision will be devastated.</p> <p>More studies and confirming research needs to be conducted before anyone can rush to a decision. I question the research that supports this plan and feel some information and motivations are not being divulged.</p>	
Jerry and Jerry Sue Greenhill	F-11	No	<p>Increased traffic thru Greenwood to have bad effect on quality of life. Bisecting the pond would decrease the ability for flood control. Park ruined. Adverse affect homes near pond. Same purpose accomplished by using Bourgeois alternative. We have lived in Greenwood over 41 years. Thanks for your consideration.</p>	
Corky Jones	F-11	No	<p>This road is not needed. It will create more traffic at the school on Bourgeois and the intersection is all ready very congested. Very BAD decision.</p>	
Carol	F-11	No	<p>Road will create too much traffic & noise in my quiet neighborhood.</p>	
Dan Shelor	F-11	No	<p>I live in the area and travel these roads daily as well as I am the local fire chief. This plan serves no purpose. A traffic light at W Richey and Bourgeois would make vast improvements as that three way stop hinders traffic flow. Additionally, there are other issues that need correcting, like the economic commercial growth happening on Theall Rd, Shiloh Church Rd, and Haynes Rd which are roads that can not handle the large trucks that need to access those businesses or new construction sites that have difficulty navigating the narrow streets. Fire apparatus access to those areas is also cumbersome. Please fix what is of community and economic value in those areas and don't destroy three neighborhoods with a road that connects two roads already connected.</p> <p>Thank you</p>	
ANTHONY L MONTES	F-11	No	<p>Sir or Madam: I submitted lengthy comments about 20 minutes ago in opposition to this proposal. Please confirm receipt by phone or e-mail. Thanks</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
ANTHONY L. MONTES	F-11	No	The detention pond (Hurst Park) you are proposing to bisect was constructed by WCID 109 and dedicated on March 9, 2015. I understand it was constructed by WCID 109 because Harris Co. would not do anything to relieve the flooding in Greenwood Forest Section 6 where I have lived for almost 41 years. My home on Pinewilde Drive has never flooded, but homes on Pinewood Springs and Green Springs Drive have not been as fortunate. Since the pond construction, our flooding appears to have almost been eliminated, even with all the rain this year. Now the Harris Co. Engineering Dept. is proposing to bisect our beautiful detention pond which could likely result in the return of serious flooding, not to mention destroying our beautiful park. I am in possession of several letters between various jurisdictions (Precinct 4, HCFC, WCID 109 and the Harris Co. Engineering Division) dated in 2002/2003, and the county agencies disclaimed any responsibility for taking action to alleviate the flooding, and in one instance suggested it was a flaw in the original subdivision design. Due to the lack of action on the part of any of the county agencies, WCID 109 took action in the interest of the affected homeowners and constructed the detention pond adjacent to Greenwood Forest Section 6. One of the letters in my possession is a 7-16-2003 Memorandum from Patrick D. Garrett, P.E. representing the Harris Co. Public Infrastructure Department Engineering Division to Commissioner Jerry Eversole of Precinct 4. I will most likely not be able to attend the July 14th Public Hearing, but will share the information I have with the Harris County Engineering Department in case it is needed. Surely there must be some way to avoid bisecting our detention pond which protects Greenwood Forest Section 6 from flooding. I might add it is a beautiful fenced and lighted park with walking trails and a water "spout". Please consider this an urgent yet respectful request to not bisect the Hurst Park detention pond. Thank you for your consideration. Anthony L. Montes, CPA	
Fatema Moqbel	F-11	No	I don't want it because it will block the access to the back of my home.	
Awad Moqbel	F-11	No	I don't want it because it will block my back access to my home.	
Jerry Pham	F-11	No	We have a big green and beautiful backyard for the family and pets to play every day. With the busy street behind our backyard, we will lose the peacefulness, privacy, and security. Thank you for your consideration.	
Linda Peeples	F-11	No	Unnecessary placement of this road. There are two existing roads via North & South. The displacement of the community does not match that of the benefits of this road.	
Johnny Peeples	F-11	No	Hollister and Champion Forest provide access via North & South. This proposal of placing another road within 800ft of these existing roads is not functional. The displacement of landscaping and inconvenience to the neighborhood is not beneficial.	
Pradeep Sulhan	F-11	No	I live on Bourgeois Forest Dr. Since 1997. I believe that this proposed road should not go ahead because: - exposing our families to excessive noise pollution, - exposing our families to clean air pollution, - harm to the remaining wild life around our subdivision, - exposing us to traffic related crime and accidents, - unnecessary diversion of traffic which has other alternates available. Your kind attention to these issues will be gratefully appreciated. Thanks. Pradeep	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
M. D. Williams	F-11	No	<p>The road expansion MN-2-60 is a poor decision. It will have a negative impact on all the residents of Bourgeois Forest from noise, pollution, and inconvenience leaving the only street exit available to us. The noise from Hollister from trucks, motorcycles, and hot rods on the straightaways is deafening. To have another roadway on the other side would compound an already serious condition.</p> <p>To discontinue the east-west road (MJ-4-80) from Weeds makes no sense because it would distribute some of the traffic to Champions Forest which is a four lane street.</p> <p>Bourgeois Forest residents' only exit from the neighborhood is onto Bourgeois. If this becomes a major thoroughfare, it will be all but impossible to leave the neighborhood during peak traffic periods. It is nearly impossible at present because of the traffic volume, which would be even greater if the road were expanded.</p> <p>Gentlemen and ladies, please reconsider these plans with the residents quality of life in mind. There are better solutions than the ones currently being considered.</p> <p>Thank you, M. D. Williams</p>	
Angela Boddy	F-11	No	The proposed road behind Bourgeois Forest Road via of Mitchell Rd. will gravely decrease the property values increase noise and traffic and promote flooding in the neighborhood with the removal of vegetation.	
joe castles	F-11	No	<p>This project will drastically alter/destroy our MUD retention pond, fountain, walking trail. Alter the integrity of our neighborhood by increasing through traffic on residential streets (E.G. Crime, Trash and Noise) and reduce property values on ALL adjacent homes. Our MUD has worked extensively to reduce flooding and concerns are this project will alter their past efforts and expenditures. Also this project will remove one of the few remaining habitats for our Deer and other wildlife. This is also a nesting ground for whistling ducks. Suggest priority be given to connecting W Greens RD at Hollister, Widening Bourgeois, or widening Theall between Hollister and Cutten. Why destroy this natural habitat for wildlife and waste taxpayer dollars for a project that is not needed nor wanted.</p>	
Chinh Tran	F-11	No	Please consider replacing the 3-way stop sign at the intersection of Bourgeois and Richey with a stop light or removing it altogether and/or expanding the number of lanes of Bourgeois Rd.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Maureen Lankford	F-11	No	<p>F11 project in ALL of its forms. Even the amended proposal, with the exception of the widening of Bourgeois from Champion Forest to Hollister, would not benefit any of the surrounding existing property owners and would provide a road to nowhere, reminiscent of the bridge to nowhere in Alaska. In fact, the proposal both as originally proposed and as amended, would adversely affect the property rights and values of not only property owners in Greenwood Forest, but also in Bourgeois Forest Subdivision and Champions Crossing Subdivision with no apparent benefit to transportation in the area.</p> <p>The surrounding properties would also suffer a reduction in their property values (and the consequent lowering of property taxes available to Harris County and all the taxing entities billed through the Harris County Assessor's office, to Klein ISD and to HC WC ID #109), AND the water retention and flood control provided to not only to Greenwood Forest but also the surrounding areas by the retention pond built, paid for and maintained by HC WC ID #109 would be diminished causing even further lowering of property values and consequent taxes and the increase in potential flooding in the entire area. With over 1600 taxable residences in just Greenwood Forest, not to mention the other residential subdivisions and individual properties abutting these subdivisions, the reduction in taxable value and costs associated with potential flooding could severely affect the Harris County and Precinct 4 budgets.</p> <p>In addition, I am troubled by the fact that in making this proposal despite the wording in the "notice" of the proposal, no written notice was received by Klein ISD, HC WC ID #109, any resident of Greenwood Forest or any officer of the Greenwood Forest HOA, despite all of these having their property rights and values as well as the prospect of increased flooding effected by the proposed and amended proposed changes to the existing right of way.</p> <p>Making changes for the sake of making them seems a waste of time and tax money when no benefit is derived except by one property owner and is a detriment to thousands of property owners. I must say that this entire proposal smells like a pork barrel project and/or preferential treatment of one property owner who was aware of the restrictions on their property at the time of purchase through their deed and title policy.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and consideration.</p>	
Thang ngo	F-11	No	<p>I see inconveniences that Hollister St. is bringing to us. Although I use it to get t the freeway, so it is o.k., and the new street is never jammed.</p> <p>But more around our subdivision will bring lot of noise for certain and disrupt the quietness of this area.</p>	
truc nguyen	F-11	No	<p>same comments as my husband Tao Ngo</p>	
tao ngo	F-11	No	<p>the subdivision will become an island surrounded by traffic and noise.</p> <p>as for now crime is quite rare and we feel very safe.</p> <p>since Hollister street went thru my neighbor lost a ladder because it is in plain view from the street. occasionally fast cars and motorcycles roar thru it to test their speed.</p> <p>additional streetswill without doubt change the character of the neighborhood and decrease the quality of life for certain. we use to be surrounded by vegetation. For what is remaining there is a family of five or six deers roaming around us. when and if construction work begin, I hope the City will deal with relocating those poor animals too.</p>	
Agustin Don	F-11	No	<p>We live in a nice and quiet neighborhood, we don't need that road, if the they open that road it's going to destroy the boirgeois forest subdivision with the noise and traffic, that road it's going to be in my backyard, making my backyard ease target for thugs breaking in to my house!!!!please STOP that road.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
John Odusch	F-11	No	This is not needed and unwanted by all the residents that it impacts. If you must force you political objectives on us why don't you do the obvious and widen and improve Richey Rd. between Champions Forest and Hollister.	
Patty DeRosa	F-11	No	Greenwood Forest, Champions Crossing and our Community Bourgeois Forest We protest this boxed in unnecessary access you want put in place. Placing a two lane road and four lane road for what This will not help the traffic at all	
Ron Pry	F-11	No	This project would destroy the Bourgeois Forest subdivision quality of life and property values by adding 2 busy streets right up against our back yard fences. Our home would be especially impacted because the plan calls for taking away 2/3rd of our wooded residential tract. My wife and I are seniors who planned to live quietly on a beautiful cul-de-sac that Harris County plans to completely destroy. We were told this project was instigated by Glorious Way Church to sell their land at a higher profit to a developer.	
Barbara Frugoni	F-11	No	It is abundantly clear that the city & county need to study this further & consider using Bourgeois Road instead of the proposed road to nowhere.	
Eamonn & Linda Mulchrone	F-11	No	<p>My name is Eamonn Mulchrone. My wife Linda and I live in Greenwood Forest Subdivision. We attended a home owners meeting on Wednesday 22 June during which Mr. Lloyd Smith, Harris County engineer explained the proposed plan to build MTFP case No. F-11 Weeds road Major Collector.</p> <p>We strongly object to this proposed project for the following reasons.</p> <p>The stated reason for building the road is "to support overall mobility". The proposed road from Hollister to Champions Forest Drive does not do this. It ends in a T junction in a residential area.</p> <p>The F-11 proposal will put a four lane road through an existing local park. The Frank Hurst Park, funded by our water board, Harris County WCID No.109, is directly in the path of the proposed road. The park opened in March 2015. It contains a retention pond, walking and jogging trail, seating and lighting and a lighted fountain. The park is a haven for local wildlife, egrets, water fowl and owls. Most importantly, the pond acts as a flood control for Greenwood Forest. The efficiency of the retention pond was proven during the recent heavy rains. The park is a place of tranquility enjoyed by people of all ages from the surrounding area.</p> <p>When Hollister road was extended, the levels of traffic noise increased noticeably. The F-11 is closer to our home than Hollister and therefore the noise pollution will get even worse.</p> <p>We want to point out that none of the 1600+ home owners in Greenwood Forest were notified of the June 14 Open House to discuss the F-11 proposal.</p>	
joyce vinyard	F-11	No	Will not benefit our neighborhood and will increase the chance of causing our neighborhood to flood. Will cause a decrease in the value of our property, increase the noise level and we don't want this in our neighborhood.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
David Freeman	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to proposal F-11-West Richey, Weeds, and Bourgeois Roads due to the following reasons: Bourgeois Rd. is already congested from 6am-10am, and from 3pm-8pm. It's almost impractical for motorist to access Bourgeois Rd. during these hours from the Champions Crossing and Cutten Green subdivisions.</p> <p>Parents and staff at Klenk Elem School have a difficult time trying to get on Bourgeois Rd. during arrival and dismissal time. Realignment and reclassification of Weeds Rd. involves excavating the closed landfill. This can present serious environmental/health issues for residents and business in the area.</p> <p>If the goal of F-11 is to help the east/west traffic flow, then an alternative route below might offer a better solution: Realign West Greens Rd. from Veterans Memorial to Bammel N.Houston. Connect West Greens Rd. to the existing West Greens Rd. at Hollister by extending/adding West Greens Rd. from Bammel N. Houston to Hollister. The extended West Greens Rd. would parallel the south bank of Greens Bayou, then curve south to join the existing West Greens Rd. at Hollister. West Greens Rd. already flows into Cutten Rd., which provides easy access to the Willow Brook Overpass at the Weeds Rd/Cutten Rd. intersection. State Hwy 249 is less than a quarter mile from the overpass.</p>	
David Freeman	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to proposal F-11-West Richey, Weeds, and Bourgeois Roads due to the following reasons: Bourgeois Rd. is already congested from 6am-10am, and from 3pm-8pm. It's almost impractical for motorist to access Bourgeois Rd. during these hours from the Champions Crossing and Cutten Green subdivisions.</p> <p>Parents and staff at Klenk Elem School have a difficult time trying to get on Bourgeois Rd. during arrival and dismissal time. Realignment and reclassification of Weeds Rd. involves excavating the closed landfill. This can present serious environmental/health issues for residents and business in the area.</p> <p>If the goal of F-11 is to help the east/west traffic flow, then an alternative route below might offer a better solution: Realign West Greens Rd. from Veterans Memorial to Bammel N.Houston. Connect West Greens Rd. to the existing West Greens Rd. at Hollister by extending/adding West Greens Rd. from Bammel N. Houston to Hollister. The extended West Greens Rd. would parallel the south bank of Greens Bayou, then curve south to join the existing West Greens Rd. at Hollister. West Greens Rd. already flows into Cutten Rd., which provides easy access to the Willow Brook Overpass at the Weeds Rd/Cutten Rd. intersection. State Hwy 249 is less than a quarter mile from the overpass.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Richard e. Wiegard	F-11	No	<p>I strongly oppose this project for numerous reasons briefly stated below.</p> <p>1)There is simply no need and even if a longer range need is determined, there are much better options. ie. W. Richey Rd/Bourgeois ALREADY EXISTS. Simply widen Bourgeois and the east west connection is completed.</p> <p>2) Flooding has historically been a concern in this area and this project would only worsen that concern AND encroach on a recently installed detention area/park that has been extensively used by area residents and has proven to abate flooding even during recent heavy rains.</p> <p>3)Implementation of this project would significantly lower property values due to increased/excessive traffic noise. In addition, it would increase exposure to neighborhood vandalism and severely destroy the local wildlife habitat where endangered specie woodpecker reside.</p> <p>4)Finally, It is quite upsetting that a project of this magnitude would even be considered with such scant notice to the affected populace. I comes across as being "shoved down our throat" and reeks of political pandering.</p>	
Paul C Goodwin	F-11	No	<p>The routing of the new additions will not improve traffic flow in this area. It will increase the possibility of flooding in our subdivision for little benefit. With all of the new commercial development along Theall and Hollister, the change in traffic flow will encourage more large vehicles to travel thru our neighborhood streets, resulting with more street maintenance. In addition the new development will destroy our neighborhood walking park and pond. I'm sure your engineers can reroute this project where it will not be as destructive of personal property. Thanks for your consideration in this matter</p>	
Laura Bell	F-11	No	<p>I am not in favor of the West Richey/ Weeds / Bourgeois proposed roads as they will destroy green spaces, and displace wildlife that are important to this community. We enjoy our dedicated park containing the retention pond that these roads would eliminate. Furthermore, all of the land in this area, with the exception of the 7 acre parcel owned by the church has been developed. This area won't support an additional subdivision and traffic in our community won't increase in the foreseeable future. The recent addition of Hollister Road provided adequate North-South access, and additions and corrections in the Bourgeois Road would give additional East-West access. There is no need for an additional road to bisect our green space. Please do not move forward with this proposal.</p>	
Rose Nucho	F-11	No	<p>I am 89 years old and need the piece and quiet and not to destroy this beautiful area. The water and the walk.</p>	<p>I am an interested resident</p>

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Nicholas Hanna Nucho	F-11	No	<p>You and your family should come and visit this pristine area that is planned to be gutted to build a road through it. Take all your family it is worth it. The map is not correct. It's a beautiful area with deer running and exotic birds, surrounding a man made lake - water retention, with a walk way around it (1/2 a mile circle). You will enjoy the walk.</p> <p>My reason for this email is to inform you that it is a "CRIME to gut this area to build a road leading to no where". This action destroys the environment (exotic birds + deer etc.). Also, it will hurt many people who live in this quiet neighborhood which is part of Greenwood Forest. Also, I (67 years old) and ride my bicycle there for my health since there's no other place left to ride and walk in this neighborhood which is as good....that will hurt me and my health too.</p> <p>I don't know who profits from this action and ask you to look at who's the beneficiary of this road. Someone is making a profit and unjustly enriched at the expense of the environment and 100's of residences who live in this neighborhood.</p> <p>Please STOP this plan. This is my vote. And please feel free to forward this email to whoever you need to help you STOP THIS INSANITY.</p> <p>Thank You,</p> <p>Nicholas Nucho - living in Greenwood Forest for 15 years.</p>	
Lucy J. Blome	F-11	No	<p>This proposal is unacceptable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is totally unnecessary 2. It would impact Greenwood Forest Subdivision and surrounding residences in a negative way. 3. A retention pond that has proven to be affective against flooding would be compromised. 4. Further development in the area would increase flooding. <p>This proposal is being considered for all the wrong reasons.</p>	
Ellen M Butler	F-11	No	<p>This proposal would not benefit traffic flow whatsoever in my opinion. It would destroy the Detention pond/park benefits the community has just begun to enjoy. None of the homeowners and communities want this road.</p>	
Ward Beight	F-11	No	<p>This proposal is absurd and the epitome of government foolishness. You want to destroy a million dollar tax payer park, with a wildlife and fisheries stocked pond, that saved hundreds of homes from flooding, with a road that goes through the last bit of green space on the south side of FM 1960 W, and run a road down the back of people's homes, to dead end into a 45 year old beautiful established neighbor, FOR WHAT REASON? It makes no sense! I urge this committee to do something right and vote no!</p>	
Keith Kolenda	F-11	No	<p>Seriously more cement to no where!!!!</p> <p>Let's help create more flooding.</p> <p>Just curious who is collecting the payola?</p>	
Debbie Kolenda	F-11	No	<p>We just spend millions on a retention pond. I have no doubt that during the April and May floods this pound saved our subdivision from flooding. This pond has been turned into a beautiful park. It makes not sense that a road to no where would cross right over the top of the park and backyards of residents.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Paula Beight	F-11	No	After reviewing the proposal for the amendment of the roadway, the plan makes absolutely no sense. The proposed road begins from a dead end and ends at a dead end into a subdivision. After attending a meeting with the county engineer who submitted the proposal, it became clear to me that the only purpose this road would serve is for the landowner who is trying to sell the land for 7 million dollars. As a tax payer, I strongly oppose tax payer money being used to benefit a real estate developer who would benefit personally. The proposal includes destroying a recently built retention pond that is now a dedicated beautiful park. More importantly the pond saved the surrounding neighborhoods from flooding in the recent Houston floods. Our area received over 12 inches of rain in the April flood and our surrounding neighborhoods were some of the only ones that did not flood. I strongly urge this committee to vote no for this proposal.	
Robert Blome	F-11	No	These changes will not benefit traffic flow and will be a detriment to the people of the communities next to the proposed changes. The proposed changes are being driven by a large landowner in the area and we're done without any consideration on their impact on our community.	
Anita Bowman-McCormick	F-11	No	I am AGAINST this major thoroughfare. It would be better positioned by avoiding our neighborhood park.	
Emil R. Zapalac	F-11	No	Don't want anymore traffic through Greenwood Forest Subdivision. More entry points brings more crime. Also, enjoy the tranquility of Hurst Park which was just completed. Home values will decrease. Everyone is well aware of the crime on FM 1960. Love walking my dogs at the park.	
Carrie Sinosis	F-11	No	I am against road development for several reasons: 1. I believe our changing weather patterns will bring more and more mass rain events. We need the Katy Prairie to remain as concrete-free as possible to serve as flood plain. 2. If the area is not going to be developed, roads are not needed. It is fiscally wasteful to build roads in an undeveloped area. 3. The Katy Prairie Conservancy allows area children to learn about nature in our own back yard. All the neighborhood developments are lovely, but they do not have sustainable plant life. The Katy Prairie teaches our community what "should" be in our area, what grows well, what works well and how we can interact responsibly with our environment.	
Maya Fletcher-Bai	F-11	No	Hello committee, I am a long-time supporter of the Katy Prairie Conservancy, a conservation group in the greater Houston area, and they have some concerns about the effect that this road plan will have on the Katy Prairie Preserve. Specifically they object to the roads that cut through the preserve, and one that loops around it. Roads are a danger to wildlife and cut up habitat that was once pristine and continuous, often isolating patches of wildlife instead of providing a continuous range. In addition, the loop around the prairie will prevent the Conservancy from expanding to protect more prairie. The prairies of this area are not only a vital resource for native wildlife and a part of Texas history, but they also help with flood control, opening up the soil so it can hold more water instead of losing it as runoff. Please reconsider your plan based on the way it will affect the Katy Prairie Preserve: we have so few remnants of the original North American prairie left, and we need to preserve them.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Larissa Donnelly	F-11	No	I am against the idea of building roadways that would interfere with the Katy Prairie Conservancy lands. It is one of the only "real" places around anymore and so much work has been put into keeping a bit of history to this area. I have lived in Katy for 4 years now, and am horrified at the planning. So much construction, and no thought to nature and keeping a sense of Texas around. When I return to my home state of IL, I am astounded by the beautiful nature preserves, trees, open fields, and organization around their building plans. Here it seems to be build first, redo it later. So now people want to put in roads and extra turn lanes and overpasses, when that should have been step one, before the homes and businesses. Keep Katy Prairie. Leave that land alone. Let us have a tiny bit of history and nature.	
Adi Susanto	F-11	Yes	I understand the need to expand but there are so many open spaces out west of 99. Once the urban development is set, I'm sure that the new residence would appreciate the prairie, it's tranquility, it's richness in nature etc. Please keep the road OFF Katy Prairie.	
Jacque Von Hohn	F-11	No	Please do not build roads through the Katy Prairie reserve, save something for the future of our kids.	
Nora Butler Hernandez	F-11	No	I am against roads around highways being built through or too near the Katy Prairie Conservancy. This once vast prairie and important Eco system has been reduced to a few thousand precious acres. I am a native Houstonian and have watched as Houston has grown by leaps and bounds over the past 58 years. It is only in recent years that there seems to be a new awareness of the beautiful and diverse Eco system of our bayous and prairies that surround us has begun to take hold. The advantage of preserving and protecting this natural beauty is a win for Houston on every level. The buffalo bayou runs from downtown all the way out to Katy. Imagine how wonderful it would be to have a bike trail all along its length connecting this growing suburb to the city center. The Katy prairie is a rare and beautiful piece of Texas that should be protected for future generations. Development has already ruined so much of it. I hope that history will show that this was the generation that had the wisdom to see how important this beauty is and the courage to do the right thing in preserving it for our grandchildren.	
Della Barbato	F-11		Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary. The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development. The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain. The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.	
John Donaho	F-11	No	If we have learned anything from the flood events of the past few months, it is that flood control starts before development. The Katy Prairie is the best weapon in Houston's flood control toolkit. The more it shrinks, the more flooding occurs downstream. It is common sense. The prairie needs to grow larger not smaller to better protect those downstream. Let's consider the consequences before we go about developing as has been done over the past many decades.	
Becky Houston	F-11	No	Please recognize the importance of our precious prairie space. These grasslands are part of our history, our culture and our imagination. Children and adults alike need open spaces and wide vision as Houston continues to grow as a prosperous city, packing housing into every possible corner. It is equally significant to maintain our green space as a significant part of a flood mitigation plan. No more roads through the Katy Prairie! Thank you, Becky Houston	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Vicki Hockey	F-11	No	<p>I am writing to express my concern that building new roads is even being considered across and around the Katy Prairie Conservancy. This area should not only be protected but enlarged.</p> <p>I have lived in Katy for over 5 years and in that time seen a mind boggling amount of building and development. I understand that people want to live in Katy and so need housing, which in turn requires roads. But this should be planned in a managed, sustainable way and the Prarie should be protected and expanded. It's part of the heritage of the area and once lost would be impossible to regain.</p> <p>My family enjoys visiting the Prairie to see and learn about the wildlife. It really is invaluable.</p> <p>It both saddens and angers me that yet more roads are even being considered in this area. Let's be honest do we really want to lose this incredible habitat just for the sake of a road? I am also concerned that, although the conserved Prairie itself will never be built on encroachment by roads, housing and the ubiquitous strip mall consisting of yet another nail salon, donut shop and dry cleaners would have a detrimental effect on the Prairie.</p> <p>Please keep the Prairie sacrosanct and keep the roads out of it.</p> <p>Thank you.</p>	
Jamila Smith	F-11	No	<p>Please do not build any roads that go through or block the growth of Katy Prairie Conservancy lands. We need smart growth, not more sprawl and roads. As a long time Katy resident, I along with others want to preserve as much of the Prairie as possible for greater Houston and Katy families.</p> <p>Thank you!</p>	
Robert Romero	F-11	No	<p>I am against the 2016 MTFP Amendment Requests. Encouraging more roads and development of the Katy Prairie area is not smart development. It is simply more of the same urban sprawl and does not take into account the negative impacts these amendments would have on the Katie Prairie, where sustained efforts are being made to preserve and restore as much as possible of this unique natural resource.</p> <p>Specifically,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary. - The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development. - The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain. - The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie. 	
Alison Tyler	F-11	No	<p>Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands should never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary.</p> <p>The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Lesly Van Dame	F-11	No	I just read a report in today's Houston Chronicle about houses being flooded in areas never flooded before. It is clear we have to be very careful about how we proceed with development in the Houston region or the whole area will become uninhabitable. Putting more roads in and around the prairie region is just plain stupid. We need every part of that land available to absorb water. Prairie land is some of the most valuable natural capital on the continent. Let us not squander our resources!!!	
Nivien Saleh, Ph.D.	F-11	No	Please DO NOT build roads through the Katy Prairie. The Katy Prairie is an important ecosystem that serves as a buffer against floods, something we really need in Harris County. Please do not build roads through the Katy Prairie conservancy, which will not be developed and therefore do not need roads. Please do not build a loop road around the conservation lands so that the Katy Prairie Conservancy can expand its protection of the prairie.	
Elizabeth Spikr	F-11	No	Please do not amend the freeway plan to go through or surround the Katy Prairie Preserve. Putting roads through or around the prairie system reduces the habitat's ability to store flood waters, increasing the downstream risk of flooding densely populated Houston and costing more money to repair and replace property. Roads through or around the prairie will reduce the quality of life, discourage young professionals from moving to Houston, and encourage more sprawl....leading to more development, flooding downstream, and more congested highways and long commutes. Long commutes consume more fossil fuel and produce more carbon, contributing to more global warming, more extreme and frequent weather events, and more flooding. Katy Prairie can buffer the flooding, store the carbon, and improve the quality of life, making Houston a desirable place for young professionals to settle and contribute to economic growth.	
Rebekkah Nelson	F-11	No	I don't want more roads surrounding the Katy Prairie, this prairie is a wonderful preserve of what this area of Texas used to look like and is key for wildlife. Houston doesn't need to build more loop roads that allow for unchecked development.	
Kristi Ferguson	F-11	No	We need to conserve the Katy Prairie without roads going through or around it. It should be obvious from the recent floods--and last year's floods-- that we need to stop paving over every spot of land around Houston. I heard on Houston Public Radio (on Marketplace) that the cost of paving in terms of insured losses to citizens and businesses was an EXTRA \$4000 per square meter of pavement. The person being interviewed was Samuel Brody, a professor of marine sciences and planning at Texas A&M University and co-author of a book called "Rising Waters The Causes and Consequences of Flooding in the United States." So why do our politicians keep insisting on paving everything over--especially the Katy Prairie? Say no to the loop road around the Katy Prairie and to any road through it.	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
John T. McCain	F-11	No	<p>Please do not plan to build any roads through the Katy Prairie Conservancy.</p> <p>Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary.</p> <p>The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.</p> <p>Remember the flooding problems the city is having? Further development of the land around our city will only make flooding worse. Start being smart about development and don't give in to the developers.</p> <p>We need to have undeveloped land in and around our city! Besides Houston is too big already!</p>	
Jay Westfall	F-11	No	Katy Prairie ecosystem must be preserved. No roads need to be constructed here. Spend the money you save on roads to expand the prairie, so flooding can be dialed back.	
Lora Zeis	F-11		<p>Please leave the Katy Prairie untouched by public roads slicing their way through the middle. The prairie is the largest piece of natural prairie the state has in this part and it is home to many birds and insect life. Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary.</p> <p>The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain.</p> <p>The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop our ability to protect more prairie.</p> <p>We've preserved over 20,000 acres of prairie and rather than seeing the benefits - for our kids, for nature, for flooding - many in our community see empty spaces for new homes. So please, leave the Katy Prairie in its wild state. Thank you.</p>	
Richard Egan	F-11	No	<p>I am opposed to the idea of building larger roads through the area North of I10 and West of Grand Parkway, especially those that would impact the efforts by the KPC.</p> <p>As the recent floods have shown, the prairie is an invaluable resource in preserving property/property value further downstream.</p> <p>Regardless of the engineering, there is no planning that could accommodate the amount of water that sheet-flows across the KPC and surrounding lands.</p> <p>In addition, the KPC is offering environmental and educational benefits that are beyond measure.</p> <p>Thank you, Richard Egan</p>	
Rebecca Butler	F-11	No	Stay out of the Katy Prairie! It's bad enough new neighborhoods are going up left and right, but this is the last bit of real NATURE we have and you are planning on building more roads through it. No!!	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Fulton Broemer	F-11	No	<p>No new roads through the Katy Prairie.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Katy Prairie Conservancy's conservation lands will never be developed so new roads going through those lands aren't necessary. -The Planning Commission should plan for smart development, not just suburban development. -The City of Houston must stop assuming a one-mile grid of roads through conserved lands and the flood plain. -The City of Houston must stop the "loop road" around Katy Prairie Conservancy's lands because it will stop KPC's ability to protect more prairie. 	
Veronica	F-11	No	<p>Katy Prairie provides many benefits to Houston and will only continue to do in its current state. The established prairie ensures pollinators such as bees and butterflies have homes so that they can continue to pollinate local crops in turn providing local sources of food for people. Katy Prairie is providing habitat for a proposed endangered species the Monarch butterfly. Habitats such as this are critical to the recovery of the butterfly. Soil health is at its best in the Prairie which provides improved infiltration of water thus reducing runoff in the system and reducing flooding. Carbon is actively captured in the system as well which in the future the city of Houston by providing opportunity to purchase carbon credits. The prairie will only provide these benefits in its current state. With roads and homes and concrete these benefits will quickly disappear or will be used up.</p> <p>This land will never be improved, making the need for roads an unnecessary waste of taxpayer dollars.</p> <p>I implore you to consider and study the benefits of the Katy Prairie and all the things they have accomplished</p>	
Durward P. D. Hulce	F-11	No	<p>I lived in Houston from 1976 to 2004 and spent thousands of hours birding and enjoying the Katy Prairie. Native prairie is our most endangered habitat and it would be a CRIME to do anything to diminish it in anyway. I was President of Houston Audubon from 1997 to 1999 and also on the Katy Prairie Board of Advisors. PLEASE rethink any ideas of creating additional roads or byways through the extremely important Katy Prairie.</p>	
Donald J. Mayhall II	F-11	No	<p>COH Planning Commission, No more thoroughfares or Freeways through the Katy Prairie. This land is too valuable for upstream drainage and flood containment for Houston and surrounding counties to develop any further. Don Mayhall Houston Memorial Resident</p>	
Lawrence Guice	F-11	No	<p>Any development needs to be curtailed, the improvements will never be reversed as the cost would be prohibitive. Why plan for new parks and destroy what we have. Please put the \$\$ into expanding the nature preserve, not compromising it.</p>	
Erin Hurt	F-11	No	<p>No new roads</p>	
robert sander	F-11	No	<p>I understand it is the desire of some to build more roads on the Katy Prairie. These would be in support of commercial development and or housing expansion. I believe that this would be too costly for the wildlife currently using this space. Any roadway expansion is injurious no matter what the dimensions or layout (block loop or other). Please protect this remnant of what once was an expansive wetland prairie for the continued use of the wildlife inhabitants.</p>	
Olga Beishir	F-11	No	<p>After all the floods we have been having, I cannot believe this project is still being considered! No new roads thru the Katy prairie land!</p>	
Shirley Mondshine	F-11	No	<p>Begging, pleading , beeching that Houston does not build a road anywhere near or around our beloved Katy Prairie! We must grow up and take care of our special places--and this is indeed a special place on earth!</p>	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Margaret Swarts	F-11	No	Please do not build any roads through the Katy Prairie Conservancy lands or closely surrounding the lands that would prevent the Conservancy adding more land. These lands help control flooding and are appreciated by those of us who enjoy birding and other outdoor activities.	
James Wilson	F-11	No	Don't put roads around or through the Katy prairie. Preservation and the potential to expand is crucial to survival of many species and the enjoyment of all.	
Matthew Bargsley	F-11	No	F10.Walters Road With the completion of TC Jester, I do not think this will be need as my guess 33% of the traffic Turn at spears from TC Jester to Walters and turns back at Bammel North Houston back on TC Jester or vice versa. Also the notice should include where on the road the lanes be widen on the East, West or both Side. If you pick one side you will cause over 40,000 cost to the HOA of my subdivision relocating the brick wall.	
Mark Means	F-11		I support the addition of the F-11 Weeds Major Collector MJ-4-80 however additional storm drainage work scope must be added beyond "This would result in bisecting the existing WCID pond. An equalizer pipe would be needed to connect the bisected ponds. The pond would lose a little detention capacity, but this could be corrected by adding additional depth or expanding the pond." Virtually all the homeowners in Greenwood Forest are concerned with the amount of street flooding that was experienced during the heavy rains of April & May. I also support deleting the F-11 Richey T-4-100 Realignment. However I do not support the addition of the F-11 Bourgeois Minor Collector MN-2-60 UNLESS additional drainage work scope is added to conduct flood waters south away from Greenwood Forest. My assumption is that Greenwood Forest storm drains feed (eventually) into Greens Bayou and thus adding storm drain capacity to this section would be beneficial to Greenwood Forest. If additional storm drain capacity is not added then I do not support the MN-2-60 addition. Persons I characterize as "Environmentalists" will object to the destruction of habitat. I do not characterize myself as an Environmentalist and so do not have any objections on those grounds.	
Mrs. Adrienne Pollak & LCDR USN, William Pollak, V	F-11	No	To whom it may concern: I am writing you in regards to the proposed plan to change a major thoroughfare into my neighborhood. My husband and I are both strongly opposed to allowing an additional road built through our neighborhood for many reasons. While we live, vote, and both work in Harris county and understand very well the current traffic problems that face this city, we are also homeowners that are faced with decreasing property values as well. Where the fan of oil isn't really under the control of anyone, this road is and will very certainly undermine our property values as well as take away a small green space in the huge metropolis we call home. Traffic is already an issue in our neighborhood, I believe that the addition of another road will erode the feel of an actual neighborhood. I am asking you as a voter and a constituent of yours to please do what is best for the homeowners and property tax payers help us to preserve our green space and say no to the building of this road.	I am an interested resident

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
John Christy	F-11	No	<p>Dear City Officials,</p> <p>As longtime residents of the area we are the ones who will be most positively/negatively impacted by this proposed project. It seems to come down to added mobility and accessibility vs additional traffic, loss of green space and reduced flood water management.</p> <p>There is a lot of opportunity for arm waving, hyperbole and impassioned speech but it all comes down to this; our family thinks the interests of most people in the community are better served by the existing Hurst Park and the retention pond than they would be by an additional thoroughfare.</p>	I am an interested resident
Warren Carroll	F-11	No	As a taxpayer and a Board Member of WCID 109 in Greenwood Forest, I see no reason for this purposed Road. It will not improve traffic flow or any thing else. This will only cost the county money and therefore effect the taxpayer We should eliminate Weeds road completely. If you want to help traffic flow complete West Greens from Hollister to Bammel North Houston. The right away from BNH to Veterans Memorial is there now. This would save the taxpayer money and help with traffic flow	
Anthony L. Montes, CPA	F-11	No	I still OPPOSE the F11 amendments, but SUPPORT the deletion of the right of ways for the Weeds/Bourgeois/Richey realignment and SUPPORT designating Bourgeois Road a major thoroughfare.	
Rodney Eads	F-2	No	<p>The issue on the proposal, to my understanding, is to move the previously planned route for the BC road extension and to then retain this road expansion on the MTFP.</p> <p>I agree that IF the plan is to extend this road, it should be moved eastward as per proposal.</p> <p>However, I strongly think this extension of BC was ill conceived, and should be deleted from the MTFP altogether, for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. we've just experienced the worst flooding in our area on record, and this must be addressed prior to any additional road development 2. the BC road extension WILL ADD to run off and exasperate the current and acute flooding problems (keeping in mind that commercial building will occur alongside the BC extension, and majority of flow will be directed to Little Cypress Creek, and some to Willow Creek, both of which are obviously incapable of handling any additional flow) -> and building expansive retention areas do not solve this problem, as we have recently encountered! 3. the road will be hugely expensive as a significant portion will need to be raised, particularly over Little Cypress Creek, Willow Creek, and the surrounding flood plain. 4. the road will add significant road noise and light pollution to the area, and destroy little remaining natural habitat. 5. it is simply not needed; better use of funds is to complete 4 lanes on Telge and Cypress Rosehill all the way north to 2920, and possibly add a third, turning lane, to Grant Rd between Cypress Rosehill and Telge 	

Name	Amendment of Concern	In Support of Amendment	Question/Concern	How You Are Affected
Rodney Eads	F-2	Yes	NOTE: The way this proposal is written is whether or not to move the planned BC road extension. IF it were to be done, this makes sense. However, I am adamantly opposed to this road extension of BC due to the following reasons:1. weâ€™ve just experienced the worst flooding in our area on record, and this must be addressed prior to any additional road development 2. the BC road extension WILL ADD to run off and exasperate the current and acute flooding problems (keeping in mind that commercial building will occur alongside the BC extension, and majority of flow will be directed to Little Cypress Creek, and some to Willow Creek, both of which are obviously incapable of handling any additional flow) 3. the road will be hugely expensive as a significant portion will need to be raised, particularly over Little Cypress Creek, Willow Creek, and the surrounding flood plain. 4. the road will add significant road noise and light pollution to the area, and destroy little remaining natural habitat. 5. it is not needed; better use of funds is to complete 4 lanes on Telge and Cypress Rosehill, and possibly add a third, turning lane, to Grant	
Rodney Eads	F-2	Yes	I understand the proposal is to DELETE the planned Schiel Road, from Cypress Rosehill eastward to Telge. I strongly endorse this for following reasons: -it is not needed -it would be very expensive -it would add to the flooding problems along Little Cypress Creek and surrounding area	
Jennifer Backo	F-2	No	While I approve the revised realignment plan for Barker Cypress Road as the previous plan went past my house, I do not understand the need to extend Barker Cypress at all. I believe a far more cost effective plan is to widen Telge Road to accommodate the expected increase in traffic. Those of us who live in this area do not need the increase in flooding, noise pollution and traffic from other areas that any addition to Barker Cypress Road will bring. In building more and more roads, the Harris County Planning Commission is slowly destroying the county as a desirable place to live.	
Blair Bruce on behalf of HMT Inc. f/k/a HMT Inc.	F-7	No	HMT may have concerns on the ROW dedication of the Cox tract because it may encompass existing permanent structures on the tract.	
Rodney Eads	F-9	No	F9: I think the Schiel road extension, from Cypress Rosehill east to Telge, definitely should be deleted, for following reasons: This shows a road being built, mostly, along Little Cypress Creek. Great to see this being proposed to be deleted, as it would have been ridiculous on several fronts, hugely expensive being build in a flood plain, would add to flood control issues along this creek, and not needed.	I am an interested resident
Rodney Eads	F-9	Yes	I understand the proposal is to DELETE the planned Schiel Road, from Cypress Rosehill eastward to Telge. I strongly endorse this for following reasons: -it is not needed -it would be very expensive -it would add to the flooding problems along Little Cypress Creek and surrounding area	
Mark Hausknecht	H	Yes	As a property owner at Greenbriar and Bellefontaine I strongly oppose widening Greenbriar and support its reconfiguration as T-2-60 from University to S. Main	